

DOT/FAA/AM-05/13 Office of Aerospace Medicine Washington, DC 20591

# **2003 Employee Attitude Survey: Analysis of Employee Comments**

S. Janine King<sup>2</sup>
Crystal E. Cruz<sup>1</sup>
Dan G. Jack<sup>2</sup>
Suzanne Thomas
Carla A. Hackworth<sup>1</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Civil Aerospace Medical Institute
Federal Aviation Administration
Oklahoma City, OK 73125
<sup>2</sup>OMNI Corporation
P.O. BOX 25082
Oklahoma City, OK 73125

June 2005

**Final Report** 

# **NOTICE**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents thereof.

#### **Technical Report Documentation Page**

1. Report No.	Government Accession No.	Recipient's Catalog No.
DOT/FAA/AM-2005/13		
Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
2003 Employee Attitude Survey Anal	ysis of Employee Comments	June 2005
		6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s)		Performing Organization Report No.
S. Janine King, <sup>2</sup> Crystal E. Cruz,1 Da	n G. Jack, <sup>2</sup> Suzanne Thomas, <sup>2</sup> and	
Carla A. Hackworth <sup>1</sup>		
Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
<sup>1</sup> FAA Civil Aerospace Medical Institut	te   <sup>2</sup> OMNI Corporation	
P.O. Box 25082	P. O. Box 25082	11. Contract or Grant No.
Oklahoma City, OK 73125	Oklahoma City, OK 73125	The Contract of Claim No.
,		
12. Sponsoring Agency name and Address	·	13. Type of Report and Period Covered
Office of Aerospace Medicine		
Federal Aviation Administration		
800 Independence Ave., S. W.		
Washington, DC 20591		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
_		
15 Supplemental Notes		

Work was accomplished under approved subtask AM-B-03-HRR-522.

16. Abstract

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) distributed 48,900 Employee Attitude Surveys to its employees in September 2003; of those, 22,720 completed surveys were returned. About 38% (8,606) of the returned surveys contained typed or hand-written comments. A 33% random sample of commented surveys (2,860 surveys) across FAA lines of business and major organizations was selected for transcription and content coding. Of the total codes assigned, 12,703 (91%) were considered negative in tone, and 1,193 (9%) were positive. Comment categories (i.e., combined topics) and topics were chosen for presentation by rank-ordering codes for positive and negative comment categories/topics. The top 50% of positive comments included four categories/topics: confidence in leadership, satisfaction with job overall, commitment/loyalty to the FAA, and confidence in nonsupervisory employees. The top 50% of negative comments included 20 categories/topics. Of these, the categories/topics representing the highest proportion of negative comments were: confidence in leadership; morale; privatization/future contracting; understaffing; FAA policies, practices, and programs; encouraging hard work; management concern for employees; promotion equity; comments about the survey; and trust. The high number of negative comments included in this report should not compel the reader to conclude that employees were extremely dissatisfied overall. In fact, the quantitative reports of response frequencies to the closed-ended items on the survey revealed a variety of areas where most employees were satisfied. For a balanced view of employee feedback, consider the results from the quantitative reports along with employee comments from the survey.

17. Key Words		18. Distribution Statement		
Employee Surveys, Employee Comments, Survey Feedback		Document is available to the public through the		
		Defense Technical Information Center, Ft. Belvior,		
		VA 22060; and	d the National Technical	Information
		Service, Spring	gfield, VA 22161	
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)		21. No. of Pages	22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		40	

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

Reproduction of completed page authorized

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# 2003 EMPLOYEE ATTITUDE SURVEY ANALYSIS OF EMPLOYEE COMMENTS

#### INTRODUCTION

In September 2003, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) administered the Employee Attitude Survey (EAS) to a census of its employees. The survey included 129 items and a page for respondents to provide additional comments. A percentage of the additional comments were content coded. This report presents the content analysis for the FAA overall and examples of respondent comments.

Before describing the specifics of the content analysis, a few words of caution are warranted. While most comments were negative, there is a substantial base of literature that explains why this could be expected. According to Pratto and John (1991), people have a tendency to attend to negative information (automatic vigilance). In addition, negative information affects cognitive processing differently than non-negative information (Dijksterhuis & Aarts, 2003; Rozin & Royzman, 2001). Furthermore, research has shown that negative behavior is assigned greater weight when forming impressions (Fiske, 1980; Hamilton & Zanna, 1972). In an attempt to discern the saliency and power of negative events, Baumiester, Bratslavsky, Finkenauer, and Vohs (2001) posited that it was evolutionarily beneficial in a precarious environment for negative information to exert a greater influence. Extending this to corporate culture or socially varied organizations in general (e.g., the FAA), issues such as accountability, performance bonuses, and cliques are havens for the influence of negative information or events.

One possible avenue for employees to share this information is through an employee survey. One reason that employees provide feedback is to inform people about what is happening in their workplace. Given the influential nature of negative information and our inclination to notice such information, it would seem vital to share this information in an attempt to solicit help, cause changes, or to simply be heard—survival in an organizational environment. In addition to attending more to negative information, people are also more likely to try to find the reasons behind negative events than to understand why something positive occurred (Roese & Olson, 1997). Similarly, people are more likely to act to reduce unhappiness than they are to make someone even happier (Rozin & Royzman, 2001). Hence, action plans are generally designed to target negative areas rather than to accentuate or maintain positive areas.

Given the cognitive and social parameters around negative information, it is not surprising that the majority of comments provided on the 2003 EAS were negative. This fact should not compel the reader to conclude that employees were extremely dissatisfied overall. In fact, the quantitative reports of response frequencies to the closed-ended items on the survey revealed several areas where most employees were satisfied. For a balanced view of employee feedback, the quantitative reports should be considered in addition to employee comments from the survey (Hackworth, Cruz, Goldman, Jack, King, & Twohig, 2004).

#### **METHOD**

#### Sample

The EAS 2003 was mailed to 48,900 FAA employees on active pay status as of July 2003. The survey was administered by using 10 unique surveys. The core items (129) of each survey were identical; however, each survey had a unique set of organizational demographics, which were specific to each Line of Business (LOB) or major organization (MO) of the FAA. Respondents were invited to provide written comments at the end of the survey.

Returned surveys were sorted and processed by LOB or MO and further sorted by the presence or absence of comments. Surveys were then scanned into the database in sets of 10, maintaining the LOB/MO separation. Sets of surveys containing comments were numbered as they were scanned. The set numbers were used to draw a 33% random sample within each LOB or MO.

#### Procedure

# Comment Transcription

Respondents were informed that a portion of the written comments would be transcribed, content coded, and quantified, and that summary results would be presented to FAA management. Survey recipients were also informed that identifying information would be removed; but that if the content of their comment could be used to identify them, confidentiality could not be assured. Finally, respondents were advised that transcribed comments are subject to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and as such, could be made public.

The random selection of comments was transcribed to enhance readability, remove profanity, eliminate the possible identification of a respondent through handwriting, and to remove identifying information. Information was removed if it identified the respondent, the respondent's region, division, or facility, or any other FAA employee. Removed information was replaced with a generic term for the information within brackets (e.g., [profanity], [region], or [facility]). Sanitation of the comments was necessary to protect survey respondent anonymity.

#### Coding Procedure

Coding Scheme Development. The comment coding scheme used for the 2000 EAS (King, Broach, Jack, & Thompson, 2001) served as the foundation from which to build the coding scheme for the 2003 EAS comments. Areas of interest new to the agency since 2000 were incorporated into the coding scheme, and areas that were not utilized fully in 2000 were eliminated. In addition, topics that were not reflected in the content of the 2003 survey were added to the coding scheme based on the comments provided by employees. The 2003 comment codes were organized into the following three major areas based on the reporting structure of the 2003 quantitative data.

Part I. Indicators of Satisfaction. Comments about topics related to overall quality of work life and job satisfaction, satisfaction with compensation, and organizational commitment.

Part II. Management and Work Environment. Comments about topics related to performance management, performance focus, workplace resources, leadership, communication, conflict management, and Model Work Environment (MWE).

Part III. Other Issues of Concern. Comments about areas of concern for survey respondents that were not specifically addressed by the EAS 2003 and that do not fit into construct areas included in the survey (e.g., FAA policies and practices, management and union relations, and comments about the survey).

Comments were coded by topic and affectivity (i.e., positive or negative). Each topic area was assigned a unique code. In addition, positive comments were assigned the letter "P" to the end of the code to distinguish positive and negative comment content. Overall, there were 237 negative codes and 215 positive codes. The final coding scheme is presented in Appendix A.

Comments were coded to the finest possible level. For example, respondent comments about trust were coded as to whether the comments regarded trust in the FAA in general, trust in a level of management (i.e., the Administrator or top management, regional, facility/division, unspecified level of management, or supervisors), or trust in nonsupervisory employees.

Coder Training. A coding team was trained using the coding scheme and sets of returned surveys containing comments. First, the team was provided with examples of

comments representing each code topic, and these were discussed in detail. Second, the team coded 5 sets of 10 commented surveys as a group and discussed the assigned codes. To ensure inter-coder consistency, team members were provided with 5 additional sets of 10 commented surveys to code individually. Then the team reconciled discrepancies as a group by discussing team members' codes and arrived at a consensus about which codes were appropriate for each comment. This process was repeated twice, such that each team member individually coded 150 surveys. Codes for all 150 surveys were discussed and reconciled as a group.

Comment Coding. A random sample of sets of 10 surveys containing respondent comments within each LOB or MO was selected for transcription and content coding. The randomly selected sets were randomly assigned to two coders from the team. Coders independently read and coded the comments in their assigned sets by underlining sections of comments deemed codable and writing the code above the underlined section. A team of three lead coders with coding experience from previous EAS 2000 administration discussed the code assignments and resolved discrepancies.

Coding Examples. Employee comments varied greatly in length and complexity. A comment may have consisted of a very short sentence fragment or a number of pages of complex paragraphs. Given the length of some respondents' comments, the number of codes used for a single survey's comments ranged from 1 to 32 with a mean of 4.4 codes per comment-coded survey. The code for any given topic was assigned to a survey only once, regardless of how many times the employee may have mentioned that topic. For example, a survey might have contained four separate negative comments relating to morale; however, that survey would be assigned only one code representing a negative comment about morale. An example of a coded comment follows:

—We have low staffing; no training, poor communications on changes in policies, morale is down FAA wide.

This example received four codes. The first part of the statement, "We have low staffing," was assigned the code for understaffed (code 343). The second part, "no training," was coded 381 for negative training opportunity timeliness/availability. The third code assigned was a 4 representing negative general downward communication. When the respondent did not specify a level of management, a general code was assigned. If the respondent had referenced a specific level of management, for example, the Administrator or top or senior management, this section of the comment would have been assigned a code of 4.1. The number following the decimal

represents the level of management. When no management level was specified, the comment was assigned a general code (i.e., no decimal). Finally, the last section of the example comment was coded negative morale, 120. If any portion of the comment had contained a positive statement, a "P" would have been added to the end of the code to represent a positive statement. For example, if respondents commented that they were provided with ample training opportunities, their statement would have been coded 381P.

# Quantitative Analysis

The final step in the process was the quantitative analysis of the coded comments. The comments were analyzed at the survey level by counting the number of surveys with comments for each topic code. This provided the finest grain analysis for each topic code. In addition, topic codes were grouped into categories where appropriate (i.e., where multiple topics were related to a single general category) for the purpose of determining the overall frequency of positive and negative categories. Some topics did not fit into broader categories and remained stand-alone comment topics. Surveys containing more than one topic code within a single category were counted only once toward that particular category so as not to inflate the category count. Therefore, within a category, an individual may have contributed to numerous topic code counts, but would only contribute to the overall category count once. As with a "mark all that apply" question, topic code counts will not necessarily add up to the category count.

Comment categories and topics were rank-ordered by frequency. This was done separately for positive and negative comment categories/topics. The top 50% of both positive and negative categories or single topics were selected for presentation in this document. This resulted in 4 positive comment categories/topics and 20 negative comment categories/topics. The frequencies for the individual topics within a category will also be presented. For the purpose of organizing the information presented in this report, frequencies representing categories are presented inside parentheses in Tables 2-13. In addition, each category and the topics that make up each category were assigned unique letter identifiers that are presented as subscripts to the frequency values. For example, the privatization/future contracting category shown in Table 4 was assigned the subscript letter "a." This category's topics included: general comments about privatization/future contracting, privatization/contracting impact on job security, impact on retirement, and impact on earnings. Each topic was also assigned the subscript letter "a."

#### **RESULTS**

# **Coding Sample**

Of the 48,900 surveys distributed, FAA employees returned 22,873 surveys. A small number of employees (153) returned blank or shredded surveys, leaving 22,720 completed surveys. About 38% (8,606) of the returned surveys (including blanks) contained typed or hand-written comments. Blank surveys were processed and scanned into the database to ensure a more accurate account of returned surveys and to provide commented blank surveys an opportunity to be included in the random sample for transcription and coding.

In some cases, commented surveys included attachments such as E-mail messages, bulletins, and other supporting documentation. In all cases, commented surveys selected for transcription were transcribed verbatim, omitting profanity and identifying information; however, attachments were not transcribed or coded as part of the comment. Additionally, a small number of comments requested some action such as forwarding of comments to another party or a request to contact the respondent to discuss comments. These requests could not be met due to the anonymous nature of the survey and comments.

A 33% random sample of commented surveys (2,860 surveys) across LOBs and MOs, or about 13% of all returned surveys, was selected for transcription and content coding. Table 1 presents the number of surveys returned, the number of commented surveys, and the number of surveys selected for transcription and coding for each LOB or MO and for the FAA overall. Table 1 also shows that AAT, the FAA's largest organization, provided comments at a higher rate than the other organizations, with 49% of their returned surveys containing comments. Although this report summarizes the results of the comment content coding analysis for the FAA overall, it is important to note that the size of the AAT organization, combined with the greater number of commented surveys provided by AAT respondents, influenced the results of the analysis.

# Summary Frequencies

As mentioned previously, each survey may have had 1 to 32 codes assigned, based on the content of the comment. A code for any given topic was assigned only once per survey. For the FAA overall, 2,860 surveys were content-coded, yielding 13,896 individual codes. Of the total codes assigned, 12,703 (91%) were considered negative, and 1,193 (9%) were positive. These rates were identical to those of the 2000 survey. A summary count of all assigned codes by topic is presented in Appendix B.

This report focuses on the topic and category (i.e., grouped topic) codes with the highest frequency of occurrence for both the negative and positive comments. The most frequently coded positive comment categories/ topics are presented in Table 2, followed by the most frequently coded negative comment categories/topics in Table 3. These represented the top 50% positive and top 50% negative comment categories/topics. Each of the top positive and negative comment categories/topics is discussed and examples of comments provided. In addition, within an area of interest represented by at least one top positive or negative category/topic, some topics not among the top 50% are also presented. Frequencies representing categories (grouped topics) in the tables are presented in parentheses. In addition, both the frequencies for the category and the topics that make up the category are presented with a subscript letter identifier. Additional examples of the top positive and negative comments for the FAA overall are provided in Appendices C and D, respectively.

# Part I. Indicators of Satisfaction

Indicators of satisfaction included the areas of quality of work life, compensation satisfaction, and organizational commitment. Each of the three areas contained categories/ topics with sufficient numbers to be included in either the top 50% of positive or negative comments for the FAA overall. In fact, 2 of the top 4 positive and 4 of the top 20 negative categories/topics were from these areas. These categories/topics, as well as some related topics, are presented and discussed in the following section.

# Quality of Work Life

Quality of work life (QOWL) included comments regarding overall job satisfaction, morale, work site, work schedules, job security, privatization, and promotions. The frequency of positively and negatively coded comments for QOWL categories/topics are presented in Table 4. Overall job satisfaction represented a top positive comment category/topic (178 surveys). Job satisfaction has been described as a multifaceted construct (Smith, 1992). Not surprisingly, respondents provided comments about topics that were not directly addressed by the survey but that, nonetheless, could be considered topics affecting overall job satisfaction and overall quality of work life, such as privatization. Comments regarding morale (561 surveys), privatization (489 surveys), and promotion selection equity (252 surveys) were top negative comment categories/topics.

Satisfaction with job overall. Job satisfaction was the second-largest positive category/topic for the FAA overall (178 surveys). Factors related to job satisfaction have included organizational commitment (Ting, 1996) and

individual difference variables such as one's general outlook on life (Heller, Judge, & Watson, 2002). One example of a positive job satisfaction comment was:

—I love my job and feel privileged to work with the other individuals in my office.

Indeed, when asked about job satisfaction on the EAS 2003,71% of respondents indicated that they were somewhat or very satisfied with their job. This represents an increase over the EAS administrations in 1995, 1997, and 2000 and is the second-highest level of job satisfaction in the history of the EAS, second only to 73% in 1993. In addition, 71% positive job satisfaction is slightly higher than other comparison surveys of government employees. For example, the 2002 Federal Human Capital Survey (U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 2002) reported 68% satisfaction and the 2000 Merit Principals Survey (U.S. Merit System Protection Board, 2003) reported 67% satisfaction.

Morale. Morale was the second most frequent negative category/topic overall (561 surveys). Some respondents linked low morale with other coding topics while others simply stated that morale was low as in the following examples:

—However our morale is affected by mistrust of management – all the way up to the president that is making very visible effort to privatize my job.

-Morale in our branch is desperately low.

Other respondents used terms such as *demoralized* or *disheartened*. Because these terms refer to the weakening or loss of morale, these comments were coded as negative statements about morale. The following are representative of comments coded as negative morale:

- —To say that I am completely demoralized is a gross understatement.
- —I've known many talented hard working people who are totally disheartened and fatalistic about the FAA's future.

Privatization/future contracting. There were 489 surveys with negative comments regarding privatization and future contracting, making it the third highest negative category/topic overall. Within this category, the most common negative comments were those regarding general comments about privatization and future contracting (471 surveys) and those regarding the impact of privatization and future contracting on job security (184 surveys). Other comments included in this category referenced the impact of privatization on retirement (22 surveys) and earnings (23 surveys). While the issue of privatization was

not included on the survey, it was a topic of concern for at least two of the largest organizations within the FAA, Air Traffic (AAT) and Airway Facilities (AAF). The following example is a negative comment about contracting and privatization in general:

—I believe the contracting out of jobs and privatization of the air traffic system is the most critical issue facing the FAA. I do not believe that contracting out jobs or privatization is the solution to the FAA's problems.

The following example is representative of a negative comment about the impact of privatization and future contracting on job security:

—Job security — I presently feel my future is threatened by the push to contract out.

Promotion Selection Equity. There were 252 surveys with negative comments regarding the perceived equity of selections for promotions. Comments within this topic contained three major themes including selections or promotions based on the "buddy system" or who you know, the "quota system" or affirmative action, and an ineffective qualifications rating system. The following examples are representative of the themes of comments within this topic:

—It is obvious that people are promoted not for their skills or competence, but because of affirmative action quotas or the "good ol' boy" system.

—The FAA needs to start promoting the "best" candidate for promotion. The selection criteria are never made public nor is it easily discerned. The entire selection process needs to be revised and "published" so that everyone is on an equal playing field. Too many hidden agendas.

Promotion Opportunities. Another area within promotions that received a high number of comments was the lack of promotion opportunities within the FAA (143 surveys), although it missed being included in the top 50% of negative comments for the FAA overall. One respondent wrote:

—We have little or no promotion opportunities.

#### Compensation Satisfaction

Satisfaction with compensation included topics related to satisfaction with pay and pay system; perceived equity of pay and benefits both within the agency and compared with outside the agency; and satisfaction with benefits such as insurance, leave, and retirement. Comments regarding satisfaction with pay system (223 surveys) were

in the top 50% of negative comments (Table 5); other areas of compensation are not discussed.

Satisfaction with Pay System (Core Compensation). Respondents made specific comments regarding several areas directly affected by the Core Compensation (CC) pay system (223 surveys). Those areas included general satisfaction with the CC pay system: impact on earnings, retirement, and subjectivity or fairness of the CC pay increases and promotions. The majority of comments in this category fell into the general satisfaction with the CC pay system topic (136 surveys) and the subjectivity of pay increases and promotions topic (119 surveys). When asked about pay system satisfaction on the EAS, 37% of CC respondents indicated that they were somewhat or very satisfied with their pay system compared with 56% of general schedule respondents. Examples of respondent comments for both topics follow:

—Although core comp is theoretically superior, in actuality it does not work well.

—SCI's are stupid, inequitable, and unfairly administered from supervisor to supervisor.

# Organizational Commitment

Organizational commitment included topics such as commitment/loyalty to the FAA, abuse of leave, general comments about the FAA, intent to leave, and high turnover. Comments regarding commitment and loyalty to the FAA (131 surveys) were in the top 50% of positive comments (Table 6). There were no top negative comments under organizational commitment.

Committed/loyal to the FAA. Commitment and loyalty to the FAA (131 surveys) was the third-largest positive category/topic overall. While there were many positive comments about commitment or loyalty to the FAA, there were also 119 surveys with negative comments about commitment or loyalty. On the EAS, 79% of employees indicated that they felt loyalty to the FAA to at least a moderate extent, while 90% reported that they cared about the fate of the FAA at least to a moderate extent. The following are examples of positive and negative organizational commitment comments, respectively:

—I am loyal to the FAA and proud to be a contributing member.

—I feel no loyalty to the agency at this time.

General comments about the FAA. Some respondents made comments about the FAA in general that expressed an opinion about the agency but were not specific enough to be coded into any other topic or category. With 164 respondents making negative general comments about the FAA, this topic narrowly missed being included in

the top 50% of negative comments. The following is representative of a general negative comment:

—The FAA is a dysfunctional organization that is in serious need of a major overhaul in its structure and culture.

## Part II. Management and Work Environment

Management and work environment included the areas of performance management, performance focus, workplace resources, leadership, communication, conflict management, and model work environment (MWE). Thirteen of the top 20 negative and two of the top four positive comment categories/topics were from these areas. There were no top positive or negative comment categories or topics, however, within the areas of performance focus or conflict management, so these comments will not be discussed in this report.

#### Performance Management

Performance management included the areas of clarity of performance expectations, encouraging hard work, recognition and rewards, training, accountability, and dealing with poor performers. Of these, encouraging hard work (268 surveys), accountability (213 surveys), and dealing with poor performers (224 surveys) were included in the top 50% of negative comments (Table 7).

Encouraging hard work. This category received negative comments on 268 surveys. The vast majority was generic to the FAA (203 surveys) and was typically of two general types. First, respondents indicated that the FAA does not provide any incentives for doing a good job or for going beyond the minimum expected to be successful. In fact, some employees commented that the FAA actually discourages innovative behavior and hard work. Second, employees made statements that the agency provided no verbal recognition or praise for a job well done. The following are examples of each type of comment:

- —After 25+ years of service, I have learned that extra effort is discounted, discouraged, and rejected unless it has a political payoff.
- —They [FAA management] don't know how to distribute 'good job' 'pats on the back' to our workforce. It's not all about the pay.

Accountability. This category received negative comments on 213 surveys. The majority regarded the FAA in general (82 surveys), followed by references to management in general (55 surveys), and nonsupervisory employees (40 surveys). When asked about accountability on the EAS 2003, the same percentage of employees

(38%) agreed or strongly agreed that managers and supervisors, as well as nonsupervisory employees, are held accountable for achieving important agency goals. The following examples are representative of these three coding topics:

- —The FAA needs to prioritize, the work force needs to be reduced and held accountable for their work ethics.
- —It would be a great improvement if there were some level of accountability for management, and fair and equitable treatment from them, but this is not the case now.
- —I am not held accountable for how well or bad I do the job.

Dealing with poor performers. This category received negative comments on 224 surveys, with the majority of comments referencing the FAA in general (128 surveys). In addition, a fairly large number of respondents indicated that some unspecified level of management was unable to deal with poor performers (43 surveys). On the EAS, 21% of employees agreed or strongly agreed that corrective actions are taken to deal with nonsupervisory employees who perform poorly, while only 16% agreed or strongly agreed that corrective actions are taken to deal with managers and supervisors. Examples of comments for these two topics are provided:

- —Incompetence and poor performance seems to be tolerated vs. dealing with it.
- —The poor performers are never confronted by mgmt. The good performers are asked to do more.

#### Workplace Resources

Workplace resources included several general topics related to resource availability including personnel staffing, workgroup knowledge, adequacy of time for job, budget or financial resources, supplies and general resources, and equipment. All of these areas included one or more top positive or negative comment categories or topics. Table 8 shows that within workplace resources, understaffed (356 surveys), workload too heavy (171 survey), material resources (203 surveys), and equipment (203 surveys) were in the top 50% of negative comments, while confidence in nonsupervisory employees' knowledge, skills, and abilities (94 surveys) was in the top 50% of positive comments.

Understaffed. The majority of negative comments about staffing were centered on personnel understaffing (356 surveys). One theme found in this topic was that employees' perception of the FAA's philosophy of "do more with less" is not working. Other themes found in

comments regarding being understaffed included the sentiment that employees are overworked and simply do not have enough time to get their work done or that overtime requirements have become a way of life. Examples of the types of comments coded as understaffed follow:

—For years we have been asked to do more with less and today is no different. Today, however, we take it to new magnitudes. Staffing, or lack of, is something we, as an agency, must come to grips with. Our job quality is now being questioned.

—The workforce is tired; we are continuously understaffed with increased volume and time restrictions/reroutes in place everyday. Overtime is a routine necessity that still does not provide the bodies needed.

FAA ability to hirelattract new employees. Another staffing topic that narrowly missed the list of top negative comments was the FAA's ability to attract or hire new employees (162 surveys). Respondent comments closely linked this topic to understaffing. Employees commented that the FAA's continual hiring freezes and inability to backfill positions lost through attrition are major contributors to understaffing. Additionally, some respondents were concerned about the FAA's ability to compete for qualified applicants. Still others stated that the agency's hiring practices are too cumbersome to be effective. Examples of the types of comments coded in this topic follow:

- —Many other branches and divisions in the regional office also desperately need help, but are in the same position due to the 'hiring freeze'.
- —Due to the cost of living in [Region], we cannot attract highly qualified bidders when job openings are bid.
- —The hiring process is very ineffective. Very difficult to hire the best person for the job and the process takes far too long.

Workload too heavy. Many respondents (171 surveys) indicated that they did not have sufficient time to get their jobs done or that their workloads were too heavy. Respondents often linked this topic to the lack of available personnel (understaffed). The following examples are representative of comments coded in this topic:

- —There is too much work, not enough time in the day or week to complete it, and not enough staff resources.
- —Everyone complaining about not having the time to do a quality job. I have found and others agree, that it is getting more and more difficult to get the necessary help from others because they have no time.

Confidence in nonsupervisory employees' KSAs. Comments regarding nonsupervisory employees' knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSAs) ranked as the fourth-most positively coded comment for the FAA overall (94 surveys). It should be noted, however, that this topic also had a larger number of negative comments (129 surveys), but because of the preponderance of negative comments, did not reach the cut-off for the top negative comment list. An example of a positive comment about nonsupervisory employees' KSAs follows:

—The Federal Aviation Administration has some of the most professional, maintenance-oriented individuals I have had the privilege to work with.

Material resources. The category of material resources received negative comments on 203 surveys. The most common negative topic was budget and financial resources (164 surveys). The budget and financial resources topic included comments about budget considerations, organizational funding, and fiscal spending restrictions. The following examples are representative of the types of comments included in this topic:

- —Too many budget cuts within the FAA/GOV.
- -FAA funding is too low.

Equipment. Within the equipment category (203 surveys), the most common negative topic was equipment quality and maintenance (162 surveys) and included comments related to antiquated technology and the lack of needed maintenance. The following examples are representative of respondent comments for this topic:

- —Equipment: would be nice to have up-to-date computers with software that works well.
- —Many pieces of equipment at my facility are broken or being held together with some wire and a prayer.

## Leadership

Leadership included the areas of confidence in management, general fairness, disciplinary fairness, management concern for employees, and trust. Table 9 demonstrates that confidence in management represented both the most frequent positive (249 surveys) and most frequent negative (1,033 surveys) comment category overall. The general fairness (207 surveys), management concern for employees (255 surveys), and trust (232 surveys) categories were also included in the top 50% of negative comments.

Confidence in management and supervisors. Confidence in the KSAs and leadership style/abilities of FAA managers and supervisors garnered the largest number of both positive (249 surveys) and negative comments (1,033 surveys). Many employees provided comments about confidence in the FAA's leadership that referenced a specific level of management. The levels of management included top management (Administrator and heads of LOBs), regional management, facility or division management, management in general (no level specified), and supervisors. Most comments referenced local or top management, rather than the intermediate level of regional management.

Among positive comments in this category, the most frequent comments were for the facility/division management level (72 surveys) and supervisors (71 surveys). Comments about local management included technical expertise, support for employees, and general leadership style. The following are examples of positive comments about local management and supervisors:

- —We love our manager to death. She does everything possible to keep us up to date on things going on that would affect both our work environment and our personal lives i.e. retirement or pay changes.
- —My immediate supervisor does not fit the overall mold for management. He balances the needs of the organization with the needs of his employees. His knowledge and expertise contribute greatly to the enjoyable work environment for me.

The negative comments in this category were more evenly split across management levels with the exception of regional management, which had the lowest frequency of both positive (5 surveys) and negative comments (81 surveys). This was followed by comments regarding supervisors (216 surveys), top management (271 surveys), facility/division management (301 surveys), and management with no level specified (323 surveys).

Negative comments about supervisors reflected a lack of confidence in their technical expertise and general leadership style. The following comments are typical of the types of negative comments made by employees about their supervisors:

- —Supervisors are inexperienced and unprofessional. Some supervisors do not have the KSAs and experience to be supervising.
- —My work group is paralyzed by an ineffective supervisor who could be justifiably accused of malfeasance.

Many of the negative comments about top management (the administrator and heads of LOBs) referenced the continual reorganizations and the inability of senior management to follow through with specific plans. Respondents also cited a lack of true leadership from top management and a lack of awareness of the responsibilities of their subordinate organizations. The following are examples of comments representative of this topic:

- —The ready, fire, aim, mentality of senior leadership must change. Be leaders, make a decision and stick to it! The FAA seems to change just for the sake of changing.
- —Our top managers don't know nor does it appear important for them to understand what we do and how we do it.

Negative comments about facility/division-level management also reflected lack of technical expertise, support for employees, and general leadership style. The following are examples of the types of negative comments regarding local management:

- —[Facility] management is technically incompetent...
- —My facility manager is the worst manager in history.

The largest negative topic within confidence in management was for FAA management in general (no level specified). Many employees made general statements about the capabilities of FAA leadership or about the management culture within the agency. The following are typical examples of the comments about FAA management in general (unspecified level):

- —Management lacks the expertise or experience to manage.
- —FAA management is a total catastrophe. Regardless of all the money spent, programs developed and procedural changes made there remains an enormous credibility problem with the FAA management.

General fairness. The most common theme found in comments coded into the general fairness category (207 surveys) was the "buddy" or "good ol' boy" system. Some comments also referenced the practice of nepotism in the agency. Within this category, employees provided comments referencing specific levels of management. The largest number of comments, however, referenced the FAA in general (106 surveys). This was followed by comments about facility/division management (47 surveys), management of no specified level (26 surveys), and supervisors (26 surveys). The remaining levels (i.e., top

management, regional management, and non-supervisors) received comments on 10 or fewer surveys. It should be noted that there was only one positive comment regarding this comment category. Examples of negative comments regarding FAA general fairness follow:

- —I have been in the agency before, during, and after the strike and the only people that benefit are the 'good ole boys'.
- —Nepotism runs rampant in this region, and therefore, we do not always get the most qualified employees.

Management concern for employees. Within the category of management concern for employees (255 surveys), the largest number of comments referenced the FAA in general (110 surveys). This was followed by comments about top management (65 surveys), management of no specified level (39 surveys), facility/division management (34 surveys), supervisors (11 surveys), and regional management (6 surveys). Comments in this category indicated the belief that the FAA, as an organization, showed little or no concern for the welfare of its employees. Examples of negative comments regarding FAA concern for employees follow:

- —It is quite clear that the FAA doesn't care about the employees or the 'customers' in this area.
- —In the past three to four years the FAA has made agreement after agreement to benefit themselves with little or no concerns for their labor force.

Trust. Within the category of trust (232 surveys), the two most frequent negative topics were trust in top management (106 surveys) and trust in the FAA (104 surveys). Comments included in this category referenced a lack of trust in the FAA, management, and coworkers or the perception of dishonesty. Examples of comments for these topics follow:

- —It is very hard to trust an employer who refuses to tell the truth and does not respect their employee's rights.
- —The current admin. lies and is deceitful and will not earn the trust of its employees.

#### Communication

The area of communication included two major comment categories, general communication and downward communication. Downward communication (216 surveys) was included in the top negative comment list (Table 10).

Downward communication. Within the category of downward communication (216 surveys), many comments referenced levels of FAA management; however, the largest number of comments referenced the FAA in general (139 surveys). Employees indicated that information regarding policy changes, program implementation, and information necessary to do their job was not communicated effectively or was not timely. Examples of these kinds of comments follow:

- —The FAA provides excellent initial training to work Air Traffic and communicates with pilots and is terrible at follow up training and communicating with its own employees!
- —Frequently information and policy is changed and unless the website is reviewed, we don't know.

#### Model Work Environment

The FAA has established policies related to achieving and maintaining a Model Work Environment (MWE) that is productive and hospitable and that mirrors the Nation's diversity (www.faa.gov/ahr/eoss/ModlEnvr/action.htm). Respondents made comments about the FAA's MWE policies and about various areas related to the FAA's success in creating a model work environment (Table 11). Only one of the MWE topics, hostile work environment (170 surveys), was included in the top 50% of negative topics; therefore, other topics are not discussed.

Hostile work environment. Hostile work environment (170 surveys) ranked as the twentieth negative topic for the FAA overall. Comments in this topic had several themes. While some respondents indicated only the existence of a hostile work environment or witnessing or experiencing a hostile work environment, others indicated that a specific employee or member of management's actions or behavior promoted or created a hostile work environment. Still other employees directly linked FAA policies or decisions to the creation of a hostile environment. The following are respondent comments reflecting these themes:

- —A hostile work environment exists within this office.
- —I have had two incidents in the past years that by definition would be classified as a hostile work environment.
- —My working environment is extremely hostile due to my immediate supervisor and the fact that there is no support from upper management.
- —Decisions are made not to better our working environment but to create hostile and unfriendly working conditions.

# Part III. Other Issues of Concern

Many respondents made comments about areas of concern to them that were not specifically addressed by the EAS 2003 and that could not be categorized with existing EAS constructs. These areas included topics regarding FAA policies, practices, and programs; FAA organizational structure; comments specific to a LOB or MO; management and union relations; comments about current contractors; and comments about the survey itself. Three of the top negative comment categories/topics were from these areas.

# FAA Policies, Practices, and Programs

Employees made comments regarding different FAA policies, accepted practices, and programs (Table 12). Comments referenced everything from the systems used to task hours worked (LDR or CRU-X) to the bureaucracy of the agency. The FAA policies, practices, and programs category (272 surveys) consisted of three topics, including general comments about policies, practices, and programs; LDR and CRU-X; and medical standards and qualifications. The politics/bureaucracy topic was not included in the larger category of FAA policies, practices, and programs.

FAA policies, practices, and programs. Within the category of FAA policies, practices, and programs (272 surveys), general comments were the most frequent (219 surveys). Some respondents made negative comments about FAA policies and plans in general, while others mentioned specific policies, programs, or accepted practices. Other comments referenced the complexity of FAA procedures or paperwork. The following statements are examples of these themes:

- —FAA policies & plans appear more directed to serve the FAA than the Aviation public.
- —There is a lot to be said for simplicity. New procedures should attempt to streamline and make the job easier and more efficient, not the opposite.
  - -National Suggestion Program is a joke

Politics and bureaucracy. While not included in the top negative comment topics, comments regarding politics and bureaucracy only narrowly missed the cut (165 surveys). Some employees stated that FAA upper management responded to external political pressure at the expense of the safety of the National Airspace System (NAS).

—At the upper levels, I'd like to see less politics and less catering to industry, and more focus on a performance-based NAS.

Survey

In many cases, respondents used the comments as a way to provide feedback about the survey itself (Table 13). The comment topics of general comments regarding the survey; concerns regarding anonymity; survey construction, content, and length; and the cost of the survey were all included in the general survey category. Management use of the survey results was considered an individual topic. Both the general survey category (245 surveys) and management use of survey results topic (173 surveys) were among the top negative comment categories/topics.

General Survey. Within the general survey category (245 surveys), the most frequent negative comments were about survey construction, content, or length (123 surveys). The majority of those comments referenced the length of the survey with respondents stating that the survey was too long. However, some comments referenced item wording or response options. The following are two examples of negative comments for this topic:

- —Surveys are good, but this one is so lengthy! Couldn't you make it shorter much shorter and still get the information you need?
- —Once again the instructions 'to use your best judgment' in selecting a response shows a poorly written survey since 'neither agree or disagree' is not a good answer for something that has not happened and therefore does not need to be dealt with by my supervisor.

Management use of survey results. Employees provided a number of negative comments regarding the use of surveys results by FAA management (173 surveys). Comments reflected two different themes within this topic. First, although management solicited feedback and comments, employees indicated that they believed that management does not care about the feedback or are unwilling to use the feedback to make changes. Many employees cited a lack of visible action as a result of previous surveys. Second, some employees commented that the results of the survey were biased or "filtered." The following are representative of the respondent comments within this topic:

- —You ask for our feedback and I really don't believe you care one way or the other.
- —I don't think that anything will come of this survey because we never saw the results in the past.
- —When the results of these surveys are published, they often appear filtered or "sugar coated" when briefed to everyone.

Part IV. Comparisons Across Organizations

Although this paper is intended to describe the FAA overall, a brief description of the most frequent topics by LOB/MO is provided to recognize the relevancy of topics for certain organizations. A decision was made to look at the two most frequent topics of discussion within an organization, both positive and negative. It is important to realize that although a topic may have been a frequent point of discussion within an LOB or MO that does not imply that there were an enormous number of comments. For example, a recurrent topic within AAT had well over 300 comments whereas in ARA the number was in the teens.

Some general themes did emerge across LOBs. Negative feedback regarding morale was common. Specifically, morale-related concerns were the most frequent negative topic for AAF, O-ATS, and ARA and the second most frequent topic for AAT and AOA/AST. Negative comments about management knowledge, skills, abilities, and leadership was a frequent topic for AOA/AST, AFS, AIR, ARA, ARC, and ARP.

Similar topics appeared within the positive comments as well. Discussing satisfaction with their job was common for AAT, AAF, AFS, AOA/AST, AIR and O-AVR employees. As mentioned earlier for the FAA overall, many employees remarked positively upon their loyalty and commitment to the FAA. This topic was frequent for AAF, AFS, ARA, ARC, O-AVR, and AAT.

There were some differences in what was addressed across organizations. The FAA has a central mission; however, each organization may be faced with different objectives to achieve, different metrics of performance, and/or different pay systems. Thus, employees in different organizations occasionally raise unique issues. For example, staffing was the second most frequent concern for AAF employees, whereas, employees within AAT criticized privatization and future contracting. Within O-ATS, employees remarked negatively on financial resources and equipment modernization, and equitable selection for promotions was a point of contention for AFS, ARA, ARC, and O-AVR.

#### **DISCUSSION**

More than one-third of the FAA employees who completed the 2003 EAS took the time to provide additional written comments. Comments were provided by individuals in every Line of Business and Major Organization and covered a wide range of important topics. The comments provided by employees can be an extremely important part of any survey of employee attitudes, extending the usefulness of the survey beyond the particular questions

selected for the survey and painting a more complete picture of the issues facing an organization. It should be noted that in many cases, respondent comments supported the results of the EAS. For example, two of the top positive-comment categories/topics, job satisfaction and committed/loyal to the FAA, received very favorable responses on the survey. Likewise, many of the top negative-comment categories or topics received low ratings on the survey (i.e., satisfaction with core compensation, accountability, dealing with poor performers, etc.).

The purpose of this report was to provide a qualitative analysis of a random sample of 33% of the comments for each LOB/MO by grouping the comments into content categories and topics. In addition, a quantitative assessment of the proportion of comments in given areas was provided for the top 50% of positive and negative comments. To add depth and breadth to the comment categories and topics, direct quote examples of each type of comment were also provided.

While the general sentiment of the comments was negative, it should be noted that for a variety of reasons, this should be expected. Employees generally take the time to inform management of areas that need attention. In the case of the 2003 EAS, these areas included a number of issues regarding leadership, such as confidence in management, fairness, management concern for employees, encouraging hard work, and trust. Morale and potential privatization were two other areas of concern for employees. Comments regarding the core compensation pay system, promotion selection equity, accountability, and dealing with poor performers relate directly to performance-based management and indicate that employees do pay attention to what they see as unfairness and inequity in these systems. Comments also reflected a lack of available workplace resources such as personnel, budget, quality equipment, and new technology. In particular, comments regarding understaffing, inability to hire, and heavy workloads reflected an atmosphere in which employees are being asked to do more with less. The survey itself received a number of negative comments related to respondent anonymity, cost of administration, and survey content and length. The majority indicated that the survey was too long.

Given that negative comments are to be expected, it is important to note that positive comments, even in smaller numbers, are an important indicator of what an organization is doing right. The positive comments from the 2003 EAS indicate that many FAA employees have confidence in management, particularly at the facility/division and immediate supervisor levels. In addition, the comments reflect that while employees have a number of concerns, they are satisfied with their jobs overall and

are committed to the FAA. Finally, the FAA has a very talented and skilled workforce that has confidence in the knowledge, skills, and abilities of their coworkers. These comments reflect the strengths of the FAA.

While the comments provided on the EAS can outline a roadmap to targeting the areas that are most important to the FAA workforce, it should be noted that another area of concern identified in the comments was that management would not use the results of the survey and that the results would be "sugar-coated" by management to make the agency look good. Additionally, some respondents may perceive that their comments are being ignored because they may have provided information regarding a specific incident or problem that they would like to see addressed, or they may have requested a specific action be taken or requested to be contacted; however, due to the anonymous nature of the survey, these requests could not be honored. Nonetheless, the FAA clearly has an excellent foundation from which to address the negative comments from the 2003 survey — the commitment of a skilled and talented workforce. How best to address the concerns raised in these comments will require the efforts, support, and commitment of upper management.

#### REFERENCES

- Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Finkenauer, C., & Vohs, K. D. (2001). Bad is stronger than good. *Review of General Psychology*, *5*, 323-70.
- Dijksterhuis, A., & Aarts, H. (2003). On wildebeests and humans: The preferential detection of negative stimuli. *Psychological Science*, 14 (1), 14-8.
- Fiske, S. T. (1980). Attention and weight in person perception: The impact of negative and extreme behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 38,* 889-906.
- Hackworth, C. A., Cruz, C. E., Goldman, S., Jack, D. G., King, S. J., & Twohig, P. (2004). Employee attitudes within the Federal Aviation Administration. (DOT/FAA/AM-04/22). Washington, DC: Federal Aviation Administration Office of Aerospace Medicine.<sup>1</sup>

- Hamilton, D. L., & Zanna, M. P. (1972). Differential weighting of favorable and unfavorable attributes in impressions of personality. *Journal of Experimental Research in Personality*, 6, 204-12.
- Heller, D., Judge, T., & Watson, D. (2002). The confounding role of personality and trait affectivity in the relationship between job and life satisfaction. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23 (7), 815-35.
- King, S. J., Broach, D., Jack, D. G., & Thompson, D. (2001). Analysis of employee comments on the FAA employee attitude survey 2000 (unpublished manuscript).
- Pratto, F., & John, O. P. (1991). Automatic vigilance: The attention-grabbing power of negative social information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psy*chology, 61, 380-91.
- Roese, N. J., & Olson, J. M. (1997). Counterfactual thinking: The intersection of affect and function. Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 29, 1-59.
- Rozin, P., & Royzman, E. B. (2001). Negativity bias, negativity dominance, and contagion. *Personality* and Social Psychology Review, 5, 296-320.
- Smith, P. (1992). In pursuit of happiness: Why study general job satisfaction? In C. Cranny, P. Smith, & E. Stone (Eds.) *Job satisfaction: How people feel about their jobs and how it affects their performance* (5-19). New York: Lexington Books.
- Ting, Y. (1996). Analysis of job satisfaction of the federal white-collar work force: Findings from the Survey of Federal Employees. *American Review of Public Administration*, 26 (4), 439-56.
- U.S. Merit System Protection Board (2003). The Federal Workforce for the 21st Century: Results of the Merit Principles Survey 2000. Retrieved July 29, 2004 from http://www.mspb.gov/studies/mps\_2000/merit\_principles.pdf.
- U.S. Office of Personnel Management (2002). What do Federal Employees Say? Results from the 2002 Federal Human Capital Survey. Retrieved June 21, 2004 from http://www.fhcs.opm.gov/fhcsReport.pdf.

<sup>1</sup>This publication and all Office of Aerospace Medicine technical reports are available in full-text from the Civil Aerospace Medical Institute's publications Web site: http://www.faa.gov/library/reports/medical/oamtechreports/

# **TABLES**

Table 1. Commented Surveys Received and Coded by Line of Business and Major Organization

Line of Business (LOB) and Major Organization (MO)	Number of Surveys Received	Number of Surveys With Comments	Percent of Surveys With Comments	Number of Surveys Coded	Percent of Commented Surveys Coded
Administrator, Staff Offices, and Commercial Space Transportation (AOA-AST)	1,079	352	33%	120	34%
Air Traffic Services (ATS)					
Air Traffic (AAT)	8,817	4,300	49%	1,420	33%
Airway Facilities (AAF)	6,091	1,960	32%	650	33%
Other Air Traffic (O-ATS)	268	86	32%	30	35%
Regulation and Certification (AVR)					
Flight Standards (AFS)	3,051	845	28%	280	33%
Aircraft Certification (AIR)	715	203	28%	70	34%
Other Regulation and Certification (O-AVR)	315	82	26%	30	37%
Research and Acquisition (ARA)	928	276	30%	90	33%
Regions and Centers (ARC)	1,269	375	30%	130	35%
Airports (ARP)	330	121	37%	40	33%
No Line of Business Identified	10	6	60%	0	0%
Totals	22,873	8,606	38%	2,860	33%

Table 2. Top Positive Comment Categories and Topics for the FAA Overall

PART I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION	Number of Surveys
QUALITY OF WORK LIFE	
Satisfaction with Job Overall	178
ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT	
Committed/Loyal to the FAA	131
PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT	
WORKPLACE RESOURCES	
Confidence in Nonsupervisory Employees' Knowledge/Skills/Abilities	94
LEADERSHIP	
Confidence in Management	(249)

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic.

Table 3. Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics for the FAA Overall

PART I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION	Number of Surveys
QUALITY OF WORK LIFE	
Morale	561
Privatization/Future Contracting	(489)
Promotion Selection Equity	252
COMPENSATION SATISFACTION	
Satisfaction with Pay System (Core Compensation)	(223)
PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT	
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT	
Encouraging Hard Work	(268)
Accountability	(213)
Dealing with Poor Performers	(224)
WORKPLACE RESOURCES	
Understaffed	356
Workload Too Heavy	171
Resource Availability	(203)
Equipment	(203)
LEADERSHIP	
Confidence in Management	(1,033)
General Fairness	(207)
Management Concern for Employees	(255)
Trust	(232)
COMMUNICATION	
Downward Communication	(216)
MODEL WORK ENVIRONMENT (MWE)	
Hostile Work Environment	170
PART III: OTHER ISSUES OF CONCERN	
Federal Aviation Administration Policies, Practices, and Programs	(272)
SURVEY	, ,
Survey (General, Content, and Effectiveness)	(245)
Management Use of Survey Results	, ,

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic.

Table 4. Elements of Quality of Work Life Comments for FAA Overall

DART I INDICATORO OF CATIOFACTION	Number of Surveys	Number of Surveys
PART I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION	Negative	Positive
QUALITY OF WORK LIFE		
Satisfaction With Job Overall	36	178*
Satisfaction With Quality of Work Life		
Morale	561**	11
Privatization/Future Contracting	(489 <sub>a</sub> )**	(12 <sub>a</sub> )
General Comments About Privatization/Future Contracting	471 <sub>a</sub>	12 <sub>a</sub>
Privatization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security	184 <sub>a</sub>	0 <sub>a</sub>
Privatization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement	22 <sub>a</sub>	0 <sub>a</sub>
Privatization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings	23 <sub>a</sub>	0 <sub>a</sub>
Promotions		
General Comments About Promotions	19	0
Promotion Opportunities	143	2
Promotion Selection Equity	252**	0
Move Money (PCS) Allocation	18	0
Ability to Transfer or Change Locations	40	0

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

Table 5. Compensation Satisfaction Comments for the FAA Overall

PART I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
COMPENSATION SATISFACTION		
Satisfaction with Pay System	(223 <sub>b</sub> )**	(2 <sub>b</sub> )
Satisfaction with Core Compensation	136 <sub>b</sub>	$2_{b}$
Core Compensation Impact on Earnings	50 <sub>b</sub>	$O_{b}$
Core Compensation Impact on Retirement	10 <sub>b</sub>	$O_b$
Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions	119 <sub>b</sub>	$O_b$

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts, but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

Table 6. Organizational Commitment Comments for the FAA Overall

PART I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
Organizational Commitment		
Committed/Loyal to the FAA	119	131*
Abuse of Leave	30	
General Comments About the FAA	164	67

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

<sup>\*</sup> Top Positive Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*</sup> Top Positive Comment Categories and Topics

Table 7. Performance Management Comments for the FAA Overall

PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT		
Encouraging Hard Work	(268 <sub>c</sub> )**	$(20_{c})$
FAA Encourages Hard Work	203 <sub>c</sub>	4 <sub>c</sub>
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Encourages Hard Work	14 <sub>c</sub>	$0_{c}$
Regional Management Encourages Hard Work	4 <sub>c</sub>	$0_{c}$
Facility/Division Management Encourages Hard Work	21 <sub>c</sub>	8 <sub>c</sub>
Management (No Level Specified) Encourages Hard Work	24 <sub>c</sub>	$0_{c}$
Supervisor Encourages Hard Work	15 <sub>c</sub>	9 <sub>c</sub>
Nonsupervisory Employees Encourage Hard Work	O <sub>c</sub>	1 <sub>c</sub>
Accountability	(213 <sub>d</sub> )**	(3 <sub>d</sub> )
FAA Held Accountable	82 <sub>d</sub>	1 <sub>d</sub>
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Held Accountable	11 <sub>d</sub>	1 <sub>d</sub>
Regional Management Held Accountable	4 <sub>d</sub>	$0_d$
Facility/Division Management Held Accountable	. 29 <sub>d</sub>	1 <sub>d</sub>
Management (No Level Specified) Held Accountable	55 <sub>d</sub>	$0_d$
Supervisors Deal Held Accountable	22 <sub>d</sub>	$0_d$
Nonsupervisory Employees Held Accountable	40 <sub>d</sub>	$0_d$
Dealing with Poor Performers	(224 <sub>e</sub> )**	(1 <sub>e</sub> )
FAA Deals With Poor Performers	128 <sub>e</sub>	$0_{e}$
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Deals With Poor Performers	4 <sub>e</sub>	1 <sub>e</sub>
Regional Management Deals With Poor Performers	. 9 <sub>e</sub>	$0_{e}$
Facility/Division Management Deals With Poor Performers	. 29 <sub>e</sub>	$0_{e}$
Management (No Level Specified) Deals With Poor Performers	43 <sub>e</sub>	$0_{e}$
Supervisors Deal With Poor Performers	20 <sub>e</sub>	0 <sub>e</sub>

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

Table 8. Workplace Resource Comments for the FAA Overall

PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT WORKPLACE RESOURCES	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
Staffing		
General Comments About Staffing	- 27	0
FAA Ability to Hire/Attract New Employees	- 162	1
Use of Personnel (Staffing Rules and Regulations)	45	0
Understaffed	- 356**	
Overstaffed	- 10	
Adequacy of Time for Job		
Workload Too Heavy	- 171**	
Workload Too Light	- 6	
Workgroup Knowledge		
General Confidence in FAA Personnel's KSA	- 48	19
Confidence in Nonsupervisory Employees' KSA	- 129	94*
<u>Resources</u>		
Material Resources	- (203 <sub>f</sub> )**	(3 <sub>f</sub> )
General Comment About Resources/Equipment	- 10 <sub>f</sub>	$O_{f}$
Budget or Financial Resources	- 164 <sub>f</sub>	$O_f$
Resource Availability	- 49 <sub>f</sub>	$3_{f}$
Equipment	- (203 <sub>g</sub> )**	(4 <sub>g</sub> )
Equipment Quality/Maintenance	162 <sub>g</sub>	$3_{g}$
Quality of Equipment Modernization	51 <sub>g</sub>	1 <sub>g</sub>
Timeliness of Equipment Modernization	34 <sub>g</sub>	$O_g$

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

\* Top Positive Comment Categories and Topics

\*\* Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

Table 9. Confidence in Management and Supervisors Comments for the FAA Overall

PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
LEADERSHIP	Negative	i ositive
Confidence in Management	· (1,033 <sub>h</sub> )**	(249 <sub>h</sub> )*
Top Management (AOA and LOB) KSA/Leadership Style	• • •	29 <sub>h</sub>
Regional Management KSA/Leadership Style	· 81 <sub>h</sub>	5 <sub>h</sub>
Facility/Division Management KSA/Leadership Style	· 301 <sub>h</sub>	72 <sub>h</sub>
Management (No Level Specified) KSA/Leadership Style	· 323 <sub>h</sub>	11 <sub>h</sub>
Supervisor KSA/Leadership Style	216 <sub>h</sub>	71 <sub>h</sub>
General Fairness	· (207 <sub>i</sub> )**	(1 <sub>i</sub> )
FAA General Fairness		O <sub>i</sub>
Top Management (AOA and LOB) General Fairness	· 10 <sub>i</sub>	$O_{i}$
Regional Management General Fairness	· 4 <sub>i</sub>	$O_{i}$
Facility/Division Management General Fairness	· 47 <sub>i</sub>	$O_i$
Management (No Level Specified) General Fairness	· 26 <sub>i</sub>	$O_i$
Supervisor General Fairness	· 26 <sub>i</sub>	<b>1</b> <sub>i</sub>
Nonsupervisory Employees General Fairness	· 2 <sub>i</sub>	$O_{i}$
Management Concern for Employees	· (255 <sub>i</sub> )**	(18 <sub>i</sub> )
FAA Concern for Employees	· 110 <sub>i</sub>	5 <sub>j</sub>
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Concern for Employees	· 65 <sub>j</sub>	$2_{j}$
Regional Management Concern for Employees	- 6 <sub>j</sub>	1 <sub>j</sub>
Facility/Division Management Concern for Employees	· 34 <sub>j</sub>	5 <sub>j</sub>
Management (No Level Specified) Concern for Employees	· 39 <sub>j</sub>	$O_j$
Supervisor Concern for Employees	· 11 <sub>j</sub>	6 <sub>j</sub>
<u>Trust</u>	· (232 <sub>k</sub> )**	$(17_k)$
Trust in FAA	· 104 <sub>k</sub>	$3_k$
Trust in Top Management (AOA and LOB)	106 <sub>k</sub>	$2_k$
Trust in Regional Management	· 10 <sub>k</sub>	$2_k$
Trust in Facility/Division Management	- 65 <sub>k</sub>	9 <sub>k</sub>
Trust in Management (No Level Specified)		1 <sub>k</sub>
Trust in Supervisors	· 31 <sub>k</sub>	5 <sub>k</sub>
Trust in Coworkers	· 17 <sub>k</sub>	$2_{k}$

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

Table 10. Downward Communication Comments for the FAA Overall

PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
COMMUNICATION		
Downward Communication	(216 <sub>I</sub> )**	(14 <sub>I</sub> )
FAA Downward Communication	139 <sub>I</sub>	5 <sub>1</sub>
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Downward Communication	23 <sub>1</sub>	41
Regional Management Downward Communication	12 <sub>I</sub>	$O_{l}$
Facility/Division Management Downward Communication	28 <sub>I</sub>	2 <sub>l</sub>
Management (No Level Specified) Downward Communication	19 <sub>I</sub>	$O_{l}$
Supervisor Downward Communication	13 <sub>I</sub>	41

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

<sup>\*</sup> Top Positive Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

Table 11. Model Work Environment Comments for the FAA Overall

PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
MODEL WORK ENVIRONMENT (MWE)		
MWE Success		
Handling of MWE Complaints	66	1
General Comments About a MWE in the FAA	24	4
Hostile Work Environment	170**	
Discriminatory Treatment Based on Protected Characteristic	74	
Discriminatory Treatment Based on Age (Mandatory Retirement)	16	
Sexual Harassment (Experienced/Witnessed)		
Reverse Discrimination	56	

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

Table 12. FAA Policies, Practices, and Programs Comments for the FAA Overall

	Number of Surveys	Number of Surveys
PART III: OTHER ISSUES OF CONCERN	Negative	Positive
FAA POLICIES, PRACTICES, AND PROGRAMS	· (272 <sub>m</sub> )**	(8 <sub>m</sub> )
General Comments About FAA Policies, Practices, and Programs -	219 <sub>m</sub>	8 <sub>m</sub>
LDR or CRU-X	- 48 <sub>m</sub>	$0_{m}$
Medical Standards/Qualifications	- 21 <sub>m</sub>	$0_{m}$
Politics/Bureaucracy	- 165	

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

Table 13. Survey Comments for the FAA Overall

PART III: OTHER ISSUES OF CONCERN	Number of Surveys Negative	Number of Surveys Positive
Survey		
General Survey	· (245 <sub>n</sub> )**	$(12_n)$
General Comments About the Survey	. 58 <sub>n</sub>	12 <sub>n</sub>
Respondent Anonymity	· 63 <sub>n</sub>	$0_{n}$
Survey Construction/Content/Length	· 123 <sub>n</sub>	$0_{n}$
Survey Cost Effectiveness	· 23 <sub>n</sub>	$0_n$
Management Use of Survey Results	173**	0

Parentheses denote a comment category rather than a single topic. Because an individual may have contributed to numerous topic counts but would only contribute to the overall category count once, topic counts within a category may not sum to the category total.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

<sup>\*\*</sup> Top Negative Comment Categories and Topics

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

PART   INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION   QUALITY OF WORK LIFE   Satisfaction with Job Overall   \$130   130P   Satisfaction with Job Overall   \$130   130P   Satisfaction with Quality of Work Life   Morale   \$120   120P   Work Site   Work Site   Work Site   Work Site   Work Stefacility Satisfaction   140   140P   Physical Working Conditions   150   150P   Work Schedules and Telecommuting   Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Sharing   102   101P   Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts   103   103P   Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)   40   40P   Privitization/Future Contracting   General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting   223   223P   Privitization/Future Contracting   224   224P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security   224   224P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Beriement   225   225P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Beriement   226   226P   226P   Promotion Selection Equity   322   322P   Privitication   326   328P   328P			Negative Codes	Positive Codes
Satisfaction with Job Overall Satisfaction with Quality of Work Life Morale Work Site Work Site Work Site Facility Satisfaction Physical Working Conditions Work Schedules and Telecommuting Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Sharing Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs) Privitization/Future Contracting General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting Privitization/Future Contracting General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Enterment Privitization Opportunities Promotion Selection Equity Promotion Selection Equity Promotion Selection Equity Privitization With Compensation Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Fully In Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity With the FAA Pay Equity Det Nat AT Reclassification Pay Equity Detven AT Reclassification Pay Equit	PART I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION			
Satisfaction with Quality of Work Life  Morale  Mork Site  Work Site/Facility Satisfaction Physical Working Conditions  Work Schedules and Telecommuting Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Sharing Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs) Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs) Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs) Privilitzation/Future Contracting General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting Privilitzation/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security Privilitzation/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement Promotion Spelection Equity Privilitzation with Compensation Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Privility Pri	QUALITY OF WORK LIFE			
Morale         " 120         120P           Work Site         Work Site/Facility Satisfaction         140         140P           Physical Working Conditions         150         150P           Work Schedules and Telecommuting         102         101P           Flexi-place/TimeTelecommute/Job Sharing         102         101P           Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts         103         103P           Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)         40         40P           Privitization/Future Contracting         " 223         223P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         224         224P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement         225 22SP         226P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings         226         226P           Promotions         321         321         321           General Comments About Promotions         321         321         321           Promotion Opportunities         321         321         321           Promotion Selection Equity         322         3229           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         54         54P           Sa	Satisfaction with Job Overall	*	130	130P
Work Site         120         120F           Work Site/Facility Satisfaction         140         140P           Physical Working Conditions         150         150P           Work Schedules and Telecommute/Job Sharing         102         101P           Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts         103         1033           Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)         40         40P           Privitization/Future Contracting General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         223         223P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement         225         225P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings         226         226P           Promotions         321         321         321           Promotion Selection Equity         321         321         321           Promotion Selection Equity         322         323         323           Promotion Selection Equity         323         323         323           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION         323         323         323           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Par Recl	Satisfaction with Quality of Work Life			
Work Site/Facility Satisfaction         140         140P           Physical Working Conditions         150         150P           Work Schedules and Telecommuting         102         101P           Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Sharing         103         103P           Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)         40         40P           Privitization/Future Contracting         **         223         223P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         224         224P         Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         225         225P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         226         226P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings         226         226P           Promotions         320         320P         320         320P           Promotion Seportunities         321         322         322P	Morale	**	120	120P
Physical Working Conditions	Work Site			
Physical Working Conditions	Work Site/Facility Satisfaction		140	140P
Work Schedules and Telecommuting   Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Saring   102   101P	•		150	150P
Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts         103         103P           Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)         40         40P           Privitization/Future Contracting         ***         223         223P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         224         224P         224P         224P         224P         224D         224P	Work Schedules and Telecommuting			
Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)	Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Sharing		102	101P
Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)	Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts		103	103P
Privitization/Future Contracting   General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting   223   223P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security   224   224P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement   225   225P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement   225   225P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings   226   226P   Promotions   320   320P   Promotion General Comments About Promotions   321   321P   Promotion Opportunities   321   321P   Promotion Selection Equity   ** 322   322P   Move Money (PCS) Allocation   276   276P   Ability to Transfer or Change Locations   323   323P   COMPENSATION SATISFACTION   323P   COMPENSATION SATISFACTION   54   54P   Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification   54   54P   Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation   64   64P   General Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation   64   64P   General Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation   50   50P   Satisfaction with Pay System   Satisfaction with Pay System   Satisfaction with AT Reclassification   50   50P   Satisfaction with AT Reclassification   50   50P   Satisfaction with Core Compensation   50   50P   Satisfaction with Core Compensation   50   50P   Satisfaction with Pay Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions   63   63P   Core Compensation Impact on Earnings   61   61P   Core Compensation Impact on Retirement   62   62P   Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions   51   51P   Pay Equity Between Towers   51   51P   Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers   52   52P   Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers   52   52P   Pay Equity Between Towers   53   53P   72P   53P   54P			40	40P
General Comments About Privitization/Future Contracting   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security   224 224 224   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement   225 225   225P   Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement   226 226   226P   Promotions   320 320P   Promotion Opportunities   321 321P   Promotion Opportunities   321 321P   Promotion Selection Equity   322 322P   Move Money (PCS) Allocation   276 276P   Ability to Transfer or Change Locations   323 323P				
Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security         224         224P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement         225         225P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings         226         226P           Promotions         320         320P           Formotion Opportunities         321         321P           Promotion Selection Equity         "322         322P           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         81         81P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Money         81         81P           Satisfaction with Pay System         Satisfaction with Core Compensation         50         50P           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation         ***         60         60P           Core Compensation Impact on Retirement         62         62P           Core C	<u> </u>	**	223	223P
Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement         225         225P           Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings         226         226P           Promotions         320         320P           General Comments About Promotions         321         321           Promotion Opportunities         321         321P           Promotion Selection Equity         ** 322         322P           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Compensation         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Pay System         50         50P           Satisfaction with Pay System         50         50P           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation Impact on Earnings         61         61P           Core Compensation Impact on Retirement         62         62P           Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions	<del>-</del>		224	224P
Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings         226         226P           Promotions         300         320P           General Comments About Promotions         321         321P           Promotion Opportunities         321         321P           Promotion Selection Equity         ** 322         322P           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Compensation         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Pay Diem/Travel Money         81         81P           Satisfaction with Pay System         81         81P           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation         **         60         60P           Core Compensation Impact on Retirement         62         62P           Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions         63         63P           Equity In Pay and Benefits         71         71P     <			225	225P
Promotions   General Comments About Promotions   320   320P	Privitization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings			
Promotion Opportunities         321         321P           Promotion Selection Equity         *** 322         322P           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         81         81P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         50         50P           Satisfaction with Pay System           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation         ***         60         60P           Core Compensation Impact on Retirement         62         62P           Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions         63         63P           Equity in Pay and Benefits           General Pay Equity within the FAA         71         71P				
Promotion Selection Equity         ** 322         322P           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Compensation           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Pay System         80         80P           Satisfaction with Pay System         50         50P           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation         **         60         60P           Core Compensation Impact on Earnings         61         61P         61P           Core Compensation Impact on Retirement         62         62P           Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions         63         63P           Equity in Pay and Benefits         71         71P           General Pay Equity Within the FAA         71         71P           Pay Equity Between Towers         51         51P           Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees         53	General Comments About Promotions		320	320P
Promotion Selection Equity         ** 322         322P           Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Compensation           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Pay System         80         80P           Satisfaction with Pay System         50         50P           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation         **         60         60P           Core Compensation Impact on Earnings         61         61P         61P           Core Compensation Impact on Retirement         62         62P           Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions         63         63P           Equity in Pay and Benefits         71         71P           General Pay Equity Within the FAA         71         71P           Pay Equity Between Towers         51         51P           Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees         53	Promotion Opportunities		321	321P
Move Money (PCS) Allocation         276         276P           Ability to Transfer or Change Locations         323         323P           COMPENSATION SATISFACTION           Satisfaction with Compensation         54         54P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation         54         64P           Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation         64         64P           General Satisfaction with Pay Satisfaction with Pay System         81         81P           Satisfaction with Pay System         50         50P           Satisfaction with AT Reclassification         50         50P           Satisfaction with Core Compensation         ***         60         60P           Core Compensation Impact on Earnings         61         61P         62P         62P         62P         62P		**	322	322P
Ability to Transfer or Change Locations  COMPENSATION SATISFACTION  Satisfaction with Compensation Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft Soft			276	276P
COMPENSATION SATISFACTION  Satisfaction with Compensation  Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions Equity in Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA 71 71P Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits General Benefits General Benefits General Benefits General Benefits General Statement about Leave General Statement about Leave			323	323P
Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Core Compensation Satisfaction Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions Satisfaction Impact on Retirement General Pay Equity within the FAA Total Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Fay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Fay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Compared FA				
Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassifcation Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Core Compensation Satisfaction Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions Satisfaction Impact on Retirement General Pay Equity within the FAA Total Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Fay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Fay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Compared FA	Satisfaction with Compensation			
Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation General Satisfaction with Pay Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Core Compensation Satisfaction Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Satisfaction Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions Satisfaction Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA Total Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Setween Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Setween Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Setween Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Setween Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Setween Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Total Pay Equity Setween Pay Equ			54	54P
General Satisfaction with Pay Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money  Satisfaction with Pay System Satisfaction with AT Reclassification Satisfaction with Core Compensation Satisfaction with Core Compensation Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions Equity in Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA 71 71P Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave  80 60P  *** 60 60P  *** 60 60P  Core Compensation Impact on Retirement 62 62P  Core Compensation Impact on Retirement 62 62P  Core Compensation Impact on Retirement 62 62P  62P  62P  63 63P  Fay Equity Impact on Retirement 62 62P  71 71P  71P  Pay Equity Between Towers 51 51 51P  Pay Equity Between Towers 52 52P  Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P  Satisfaction with Benefits 64 61P  75 72P  Satisfaction With Benefits 65 52 52P  86 53 53P  87 72 72P  Satisfaction With Benefits 66 60P  67 60P  68 60P  69 60P  60 60P			64	
Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money  Satisfaction with Pay System  Satisfaction with AT Reclassification  Satisfaction with Core Compensation  ***  60 60P Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits  General Pay Equity within the FAA 71 71P Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72  Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave  81  81  81  81  81  81  81  81  82  83  84  85  86  87  87  88  88  88  88  88  88  88			80	
Satisfaction with Pay System  Satisfaction with AT Reclassification  Satisfaction with Core Compensation  Satisfaction with Core Compensation  Core Compensation Impact on Earnings  Core Compensation Impact on Retirement  Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits  General Pay Equity within the FAA  71 71P  Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification  Pay Equity Between Towers  Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers  Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees  Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA  72 72P  Satisfaction with Benefits  General Benefits  General Benefits  Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability  Leave  General Statement about Leave  20 20P	·		81	81P
Satisfaction with AT Reclassification  Satisfaction with Core Compensation  Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA  General Benefits General Benefits General Benefits General Statement about Leave  50  50  50  61  61  61  61  62  62  62  62  62  63  63  63  71  71  71  71  71  71  71  71  71  7				
Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA 71 71P Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave 20 20P			50	50P
Core Compensation Impact on Earnings Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA 71 71P Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave 20 20P	Satisfaction with Core Compensation	**		
Core Compensation Impact on Retirement Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits General Pay Equity within the FAA 71 71P Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave 20 20P	·			
Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions  Equity in Pay and Benefits  General Pay Equity within the FAA  71 71P  Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification  Pay Equity Between Towers  Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers  Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees  Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA  72 72P  Satisfaction with Benefits  General Benefits  Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability  Leave  General Statement about Leave  63 63P  63 63P  63 63P  64 63P  65 63P  71 71P  71 71P  71P  71P  71P  71P  7				
Equity in Pay and Benefits  General Pay Equity within the FAA  71 71P  Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification  Pay Equity Between Towers  Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers  Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees  Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA  72 72P  Satisfaction with Benefits  General Benefits  Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability  Leave  General Statement about Leave  20 20P				
General Pay Equity within the FAA  Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification  Pay Equity Between Towers  Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers  Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees  Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA  72  72P  Satisfaction with Benefits  General Benefits  Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability  Leave  General Statement about Leave  71  71P  71P  71P  71P  71P  71P  71P				
Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave 20 20P			71	71P
Pay Equity Between Towers Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave  51 51P 52 52P 53 53P 15				
Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees  Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA 72 72P Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave 20 20P	· · ·		51	51P
Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA Pay Equity Compared to				
Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA  Satisfaction with Benefits  General Benefits  Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave  General Statement about Leave  72 72P  18 18 18P 19 19P	· · ·			
Satisfaction with Benefits General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave  20 20P				
General Benefits Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave  18 18P 19 19P 20 20P				
Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability Leave General Statement about Leave  20 20P			18	18P
Leave General Statement about Leave 20 20P				
General Statement about Leave 20 20P				. 3.
			20	20P
	Ability to Take Leave		22	22P

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

	Negative Codes	Positive Codes
Retirement		
General Comments about Retirement	30	30P
Age 56 Retirement	31	31P
Equity of Age 56 Requirement	32	32P
Retirement System Penalizes Age 56 Retirees	33	33P
ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT		
Organizational Commitment		
Committed/Loyal to the FAA	110	110P
Leave Abuse	21	
General Comments about the FAA	210	210P
Intent to Leave FAA		
Intend to Leave FAA	160	160P
High Turnover	161	
PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT		
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT		
Performance Discussion and Clarity of Performance Expectations		
General Comment about Appraisals/IDP	330	330P
Appraisal/IDP Frequency	331	331P
Appraisal/IDP Effectiveness	332	332P
Encouraging Hard Work (Item 102)		
FAA Encourages Hard Work **	15	15P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Encourages Hard Work	15.1	15.1P
Regional Management Encourages Hard Work	15.2	15.2P
Facility/Division Management Encourages Hard Work	15.3	15.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Encourages Hard Work	15.4	15.4P
Supervisor Encourages Hard Work	15.5	15.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees Encourage Hard Work	15.6	15.6P
Recognition and Rewards		
General Comments about Rewards and Recognition	90	90P
Rewards and Recognition Selection Equity	91	91P
Rewards and Recognition Frequency	92	92P
Rewards and Recognition Effectiveness	93	93P
Training	<u> </u>	
General Comments about Training	380	380P
Training Opportunity Timeliness/Availability	381	381P
Training Quality/Consistency	382	382P
Equity of Access to Training	383	383P
ATC Train to Succeed	384	384P
FAA Academy Training	385	385P
Accountability		0001
FAA Personnel Held Accountable **	1	1P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Held Accountable	1.1	1.1P
Regional Management Held Accountable	1.2	1.11 1.2P
Facility/Division Management Held Accountable	1.3	1.2P
Management (No Level Specified) Held Accountable	1.4	1.4P
Supervisors Held Accountable	1.4	1.4F 1.5P
·		
Nonsupervisory Employees Held Accountable	1.6	1.6P

Shaded areas represent topics collapsed into categories.

<sup>\*</sup> Top positive categories and topics.
\*\*Top negative categories and topics.

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

	Negative Codes	Positive Codes
Dealing with Poor Performers		
FAA Deals with Poor Performers **	14	14P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Deals with Poor Performers	14.1	14.1P
Regional Management Deals with Poor Performers	14.2	14.2P
Facility/Division Management Deals with Poor Performers	14.3	14.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Deals with Poor Performers	14.4	14.4P
Supervisors Deal with Poor Performers	14.5	14.5P
"Mess Up Move Up" Promotions	310	
PERFORMANCE FOCUS		
Customer Support		_
FAA Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9	9P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9.1	9.1P
Regional Management Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9.2	9.2P
Facility/Division Management Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9.3	9.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9.4	9.4P
Supervisors Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9.5	9.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees Committed to Customer Support/Mission	9.6	9.6P
FAA Mission/NAS Safety/Runway Safety	280	280P
Employee Empowerment		
FAA Personnel Empowered	10	10P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Empowered	10.1	10.1P
Regional Management Empowered	10.2	10.2P
Facility/Division Management Empowered	10.3	10.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Empowered	10.4	10.4P
Supervisors Empowered	10.5	10.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees Empowered	10.6	10.6P
Utilize Skills and Abilities of Others		
FAA Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others	16	16P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others	16.1	16.1P
Regional Management Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others	16.2	16.2P
Facility/Division Management Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others	16.3	16.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others	16.4	16.4P
Supervisors Utilize the Skills and Abilities of Others	16.5	16.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees Utilize the Skills and Abilities of Others	16.6	16.6P
Utilize the Input of Others		
FAA Employees in General Utilize the Input of Others	5	5P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Utilizes the Input of Others	5.1	5.1P
Regional Management Utilizes the Input of Others	5.2	5.2P
Facility/Division Management Utilizes the Input of Others	5.3	5.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Utilizes the Input of Others	5.4	5.4P
Supervisors Utilize the Input of Others	5.5	5.5P
WORKPLACE RESOURCES		
Staffing		
General Staffing		
General Comments about Staffing	340	340P
FAA Ability to Hire/Attract New Employees	341	341P
Use of Personnel (Staffing Rules and Regulations)	342	342P
Understaffed **	343	
Overstaffed	344	

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

		Negative Codes	Positive Codes
Management and Supervisory Staffing			
Management Top Heavy		290	
Too Few Supervisors		360	
Too Many Supervisors		370	
FAA Preparing for Future Staffing		370	
Future ATC Staffing	1	345	345P
Future AAF Staffing		346	346P
Future FSS Staffing		347	347P
Adequacy of Time for Job	-	347	J <del>4</del> 71
	**	200	
Workload Too Heavy		390	
Workload Too Light		400	
Workgroup Knowledge, Skills, Abilities (KSA) Confidence in FAA Personnel's KSA		13	13P
Confidence in Nonsupervisory Employee's KSA	*	13.6	13.6P
Resources			
General Comment about Resources/Equipment	**	270	270P
Budget or Financial Resources		271	271P
Resource Availability		272	272P
Equipment			
Equipment Quality/Maintenance	**	273	273P
Quality of Equipment Modernization		274	274P
Timeliness of Equipment Modernization		275	275P
LEADERSHIP		210	2751
Confidence in Management Knowledge, Skills, Abilities (KSA), Leadership			
	/ **	13.1	13.1P
Regional Management KSA/Leadership	′	13.1	13.1F
		13.2	13.2P 13.3P
Facility/Division Management KSA/Leadership			
Management (No Level Specified) KSA/Leadership		13.4	13.4P
Confidence in Supervisors		40.5	40.50
Supervisor KSA/Leadership		13.5	13.5P
General Fairness			
FAA General Fairness	**	11	11P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) General Fairness		11.1	11.1P
Regional Management General Fairness		11.2	11.2P
Facility/Division Management General Fairness		11.3	11.3P
Management (No Level Specified) General Fairness		11.4	11.4P
Supervisor General Fairness		11.5	11.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees General Fairness		11.6	11.6P
Disciplinary Fairness			
FAA Disciplinary Fairness		12	12P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Disciplinary Fairness		12.1	12.1P
Regional Management Disciplinary Fairness		12.2	12.2P
Facility/Division Management Disciplinary Fairness		12.3	12.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Disciplinary Fairness		12.4	12.4P
Supervisor Disciplinary Fairness		12.5	12.5P
Management Concern for Employees			
FAA Concern for Employees	**	7	7P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Concern for Employees		7.1	7.1P
Regional Management Concern for Employees		7.2	7.2P
Facility/Division Management Concern for Employees		7.3	7.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Concern for Employees		7.4	7.4P
Supervisor Concern for Employees		7.5	7.5P
r - Z			

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

	Negative Codes	Positive Codes
Trust		
Trust in FAA	** 17	17P
Trust in Top Management (AOA and LOB)	17.1	17.1P
Trust in Regional Management	17.2	17.2P
Trust in Facility/Division Management	17.3	17.3P
Trust in Management (No Level Specified)	17.4	17.4P
Trust in Supervisors	17.5	17.5P
Trust in Coworkers	17.6	17.6P
COMMUNICATION		
General Communication		
General Communication in the FAA	2	2P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) General Communication	2.1	2.1P
Regional Management General Communication	2.2	2.2P
Facility/Division Management General Communication	2.3	2.3P
Management (No Level Specified) General Communication	2.4	2.4P
Supervisor General Communication	2.5	2.5P
Nonsupervisory Employee General Communication	2.6	2.6P
Downward Communication		
FAA Downward Communication	** 4	4P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Downward Communication	4.1	4.1P
Regional Management Downward Communication	4.2	4.2P
Facility/Division Management Downward Communication	4.3	4.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Downward Communication	4.4	4.4P
Supervisor Downward Communication	4.5	4.5P
CONFLICT MANAGEMENT	-	
Positive Communication Climate		
FAA Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out	3	3P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out	3.1	3.1P
Regional Management Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out	3.2	3.2P
Facility/Division Management Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out	3.3	3.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out	3.4	3.4P
Supervisors Make Me Afraid to Speak Out	3.5	3.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees Make Me Afraid to Speak Out	3.6	3.6P
Conflict Addressed		
FAA Addresses Conflict	8	8P
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Addresses Conflict	8.1	8.1P
Regional Management Addresses Conflict	8.2	8.2P
Facility/Division Management Addresses Conflict	8.3	8.3P
Management (No Level Specified) Addresses Conflict	8.4	8.4P
Supervisors Address Conflict	8.5	8.5P
Nonsupervisory Employees Address Conflict	8.6	8.6P
MODEL WORK ENVIRONMENT (MWE)		
MWE Policies		
General Comments about FAA MWE Policies	180	180P
Management/Supervisors Committed to MWE Policies	181	181P
Personal Support for MWE Policies	182	182P
Affirmative Action (AA) Policy	183	183P
Equal Opportunity Employment (EEO) Policy	184	184P

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

		jative odes	Positive Codes
MWE Success			
Handling of MWE Complaints	1	90	190P
General Comments about a Model Work Environment in the FAA	2	200	200P
Hostile Work Environment	** 2	:05	
Discriminatory Treatment Based on Protected Characteristic	2	:01	
Discriminatory Treatment Based on Age (Mandatory Retirement)	2	:02	
Sexual Harassment (Experienced/Witnessed)	2	:04	
Reverse Discrimination	2	:03	
Personal Safety at Work	3	50	350P
Scope of Accountability Board			
General Comments about Accountability Board	1	70	170P
Unfamiliar with Accountability Board	1	71	
Reporting Allegations			
Abuse of MWE Complaint Process (Excessive Complaints)	1	91	
Satisfaction with Employee Assistance Program (EAP)		:51	251P
PART III: OTHER ISSUES OF CONCERN	_		_0
FAA Policies, Practices, and Programs			
General Comments about FAA Policies, Practices, Programs	**	30	230P
LDR/CRU-X		31	231P
Medical Standards/Qualifications		32	231F
ATOS			
CSET		40	540P
		50	550P
Politics/Bureaucracy		40	
Waste	3	00	
Region Structure			_
General Comment about Region Structure		:60	260P
Consolidate Regions	2	61	
Air Traffic			
General Comments About Air Traffic Operations	5	00	500P
Controller-in-Charge (CIC) Program	5	05	505P
FAA Treatment of Flight Services Option	5	10	510P
FAA Management Unaware of Flight Services Function	5	11	
Airway Facilities			
FAA Treatment of Airway Facilities	5	20	520P
Flight Standards			
Handbook/FAR 8400 & 8700	5	30	530P
Excessive paperwork, not enough time in field	5	60	
Management and Union Relations			
General Comments About Management and Union Labor Relations	4	70	470P
Union Participation in Labor Relations		71	471P
Management Participation in Labor Relations		72	472P
Management is Controlled by Unions		30	
Unions	_	.50	
General Comment about Unions	,	10	440D
Union Held Accountable		10	410P
		20	420P
Union Members Discriminated Against		40	
Nonmembers Discriminated Against		50	
Unionization Forced or Encouraged	4	60	
Current Contractors			
General Comments About Current Contractors		20	220P
Contracting Cost Effectiveness of Current Contractors	2	21	221P
Quality of Current Contract Personnel/Products/Services Top positive categories and topics.	2	22	222P
*Top negative categories and topics.			
Shaded areas represent topics collapsed into categories.			

Appendix A - Comment Codes Assigned to EAS 2003 Employee Comments

	Negative	Positive	
	Codes	Codes	
Survey			
General Comments About the Survey **	570	570P	
Respondent Anonymity	571	571P	
Survey Construction/Content/Length	572	572P	
Survey Cost Effectiveness	573	573P	
Management/Supervisors Allowed No Time to Complete Survey	574	574P	
Management Use of Survey Results **	575	575P	
No Codable Comment	999		

Appendix B - Summary Frequencies of EAS 2003 Employee Comment Codes for the FAA Overall

	Negative	Positive
T I: INDICATORS OF SATISFACTION		
QUALITY OF WORK LIFE		
Satisfaction with Job Overall	36	178
Satisfaction with Quality of Work Life		
Morale	561	11
Work Site		
Work Site/Facility Satisfaction		63
Physical Working Conditions	73	3
Work Schedules and Telecommuting		
Flexi-place/Time/Telecommute/Job Sharing	5	27
Work Schedules/Rotating Shifts/Quick Turn-Around Shifts		0
Job Security (Furloughs/RIFs)	63	8
Privatization/Future Contracting		
General Comments About Privatization/Future Contracting	471	12
Privatization/Future Contracting Impact on Job Security		0
Privatization/Future Contracting Impact on Retirement		0
Privatization/Future Contracting Impact on Earnings		0
Promotions		·
General Comments About Promotions	19	0
Promotion Opportunities		2
Promotion Selection Equity		0
Move Money (PCS) Allocation		0
Ability to Transfer or Change Locations		0
COMPENSATION SATISFACTION	40	U
Satisfaction with Compensation		
	12	1.1
Satisfaction with Pay Due to AT Reclassification		14
Satisfaction with Pay Due to Core Compensation		3
General Satisfaction with Pay	78	40
Satisfaction with Per Diem/Travel Money	8	0
Satisfaction with Pay System	- 4	•
Satisfaction with AT Reclassification		0
Satisfaction with Core Compensation		2
Core Compensation Impact on Earnings		0
Core Compensation Impact on Retirement		0
Core Compensation Subjectivity of Pay Increases (SCI) and Promotions	119	0
Equity in Pay and Benefits		
General Pay Equity within the FAA	132	0
Pay Equity Due to AT Reclassification		
Pay Equity Between Towers	22	0
Pay Equity Between Flight Service and Towers/Centers	16	0
Pay Equity Between ATCS Separation Service and Other Employees	113	0
Pay Equity Compared to Outside FAA	5	2
Satisfaction with Benefits		
General Benefits	23	19
Insurance-Health/Dental/Optical/Disability	101	0
Leave		
General Statement about Leave	20	3
Ability to Take Leave	37	1
Retirement	01	•
General Comments about Retirement	50	7
General Comments about izemethent		<i>7</i> 3
Conoral Comments about Ago FG Batiroment		٠.
General Comments about Age 56 Retirement  Equity of Age 56 Requirement		0

Appendix B - Summary Frequencies of EAS 2003 Employee Comment Codes for the FAA Overall

	Negative	Positive
ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT		
Organizational Commitment	440	404
Committed/Loyal to the FAA	119	131
Abuse of Leave		
General Comments about the FAA	164	67
Intent to Leave FAA		
Intend to Leave FAA		10
High Turnover	33	
PART II: MANAGEMENT AND WORK ENVIRONMENT		
PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT		
Performance Discussion and Clarity of Performance Expectations		
General Comment about Appraisals/IDP		1
Appraisal/IDP Frequency	20	0
Appraisal/IDP Effectiveness	31	1
Encouraging Hard Work		
FAA Encourages Hard Work	203	4
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Encourages Hard Work	14	0
Regional Management Encourages Hard Work	4	0
Facility/Division Management Encourages Hard Work	21	8
Management (No Level Specified) Encourages Hard Work	24	0
Supervisor Encourages Hard Work		9
Nonsupervisory Employees Encourage Hard Work		1
Recognition and Rewards		
General Comments about Rewards and Recognition	7	1
Rewards and Recognition Selection Equity		1
Rewards and Recognition Frequency		3
Rewards and Recognition Effectiveness		0
Training		· ·
General Comments about Training	41	1
Training Opportunity Timeliness/Availability		2
Equity of Access to Training	25	0
Training Quality/Consistency	98	3
ATC Train to Succeed	45	1
FAA Academy Training		9
Accountability	'	J
FAA Personnel Held Accountable	82	1
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Held Accountable		1
Regional Management Held Accountable		0
Facility/Division Management Held Accountable		1
Management (No Level Specified) Held Accountable		0
Supervisors Held Accountable	22	0
Nonsupervisory Employees Held Accountable		_
	40	0
<u>Dealing with Poor Performers</u> FAA Deals with Poor Performers	400	0
		0
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Deals with Poor Performers		1
Regional Management Deals with Poor Performers		0
Facility/Division Management Deals with Poor Performers		0
Management (No Level Specified) Deals with Poor Performers		0
Supervisors Deal with Poor Performers		0
"Mess Up Move Up" Promotions	53	

Appendix B - Summary Frequencies of EAS 2003 Employee Comment Codes for the FAA Overall

	Negative	Positive
PERFORMANCE FOCUS		
<u>Customer Support</u>		
FAA Committed to Customer Support/Mission		14
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Committed to Customer Support/Mission		0
Regional Management Committed to Customer Support/Mission		1
Facility/Division Management Committed to Customer Support/Mission		4
Management (No Level Specified) Committed to Customer Support/Mission	21	0
Supervisors Committed to Customer Support/Mission	- 5	1
Nonsupervisory Employees Committed to Customer Support/Mission	- 5	38
FAA Mission/NAS Safety/Runway Safety	52	43
Employee Empowerment		
FAA Personnel Empowered	17	1
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Empowered	0	0
Regional Management Empowered	3	0
Facility/Division Management Empowered		0
Management (No Level Specified) Empowered		0
Supervisors Empowered		0
Nonsupervisory Employees Empowered		2
Utilize Skills and Abilities of Others		_
FAA Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others	49	1
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others		0
Regional Management Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others		0
Facility/Division Management Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others		0
Management (No Level Specified) Utilizes the Skills and Abilities of Others		0
Supervisors Utilize the Skills and Abilities of Others		1
·		
Nonsupervisory Employees Utilize the Skills and Abilities of Others	' '	0
Utilize the Input of Others	40	4
FAA Employees in General Utilize the Input of Others	48	1
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Utilizes the Input of Others		1
Regional Management Utilizes the Input of Others		2
Facility/Division Management Utilizes the Input of Others		3
Management (No Level Specified) Utilizes the Input of Others		1
Supervisors Utilize the Input of Others	· 14	1
WORKPLACE RESOURCES		
Staffing		
General Staffing		
General Comments about Staffing		0
FAA Ability to Hire/Attract New Employees		1
Use of Personnel (Staffing Rules and Regulations)		0
Understaffed		
Overstaffed	10	
Management and Supervisory Staffing		
Management Top Heavy	72	
Too Few Supervisors	46	
Too Many Supervisors	5	
FAA Preparing for Future Staffing		
Future ATC Staffing	70	0
Future AAF Staffing		0
Future FSS Staffing		0
Adequacy of Time for Job		-
Workload Too Heavy	171	
Workload Too Light	6	
<del>-</del> <del></del>	<del>-</del>	

Appendix B - Summary Frequencies of EAS 2003 Employee Comment Codes for the FAA Overall

	Negative	Positive
Workgroup Knowledge  Confidence in FAA Personnel's Knowledge/Skills/Abilities	48	19
Confidence in Nonsupervisory Employee's Knowledge/Skills/Abilities	129	94
	129	34
Resources  General Comment about Resources/Equipment	10	0
Budget or Financial Resources	-	0
Resource Availability	164	0
•	49	3
Equipment	400	0
Equipment Quality/Maintenance	162	3
Quality of Equipment Modernization	51	1
Timeliness of Equipment Modernization	34	0
ADERSHIP		
Confidence in Management		
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Knowledge/Skills/Abilities/Leadership	271	29
Regional Management Knowledge/Skills/Abilities/Leadership	81	5
Facility/Division Management Knowledge/Skills/Abilities/Leadership	301	72
Management (No Level Specified) Knowledge/Skills/Abilities/Leadership	323	11
Confidence in Supervisors		
Supervisor Knowledge/Skills/Abilities/Leadership	216	71
General Fairness		
FAA General Fairness	106	0
Top Management (AOA and LOB) General Fairness	10	0
Regional Management General Fairness	4	0
Facility/Division Management General Fairness	47	0
Management (No Level Specified) General Fairness	26	0
Supervisor General Fairness	26	1
Nonsupervisory Employees General Fairness	2	0
Disciplinary Fairness		
FAA Disciplinary Fairness	24	0
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Disciplinary Fairness	0	0
Regional Management Disciplinary Fairness	0	0
Facility/Division Management Disciplinary Fairness	12	0
Management (No Level Specified) Disciplinary Fairness	2	0
Supervisor Disciplinary Fairness	2	0
Management Concern for Employees	_	_
FAA Concern for Employees	110	5
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Concern for Employees	65	2
Regional Management Concern for Employees	6	1
Facility/Division Management Concern for Employees	34	5
Management (No Level Specified) Concern for Employees	39	0
Supervisor Concern for Employees	11	6
Nonsupervisory Employees Concern for Employees	0	3
Trust	U	3
Trust in FAA	104	3
	_	
Trust in Pagingal Management	106	2
Trust in Regional Management Trust in Facility/Division Management	10	2
LITURE IN FACUITY// IIV/RIAN IV/ANAAAMANT	65	9
	4.0	
Trust in Management (No Level Specified)  Trust in Supervisors	46 31	1 5

Appendix B - Summary Frequencies of EAS 2003 Employee Comment Codes for the FAA Overall

	Negative	Positive
COMMUNICATION		
General Communication		
General Communication in the FAA		2
Top Management (AOA and LOB) General Communication		0
Regional Management General Communication		0
Facility/Division Management General Communication		0
Management (No Level Specified) General Communication	4	0
Supervisor General Communication		0
Nonsupervisory Employee General Communication	1	0
Downward Communication		
FAA Downward Communication	139	5
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Downward Communication	23	4
Regional Management Downward Communication		0
Facility/Division Management Downward Communication		2
Management (No Level Specified) Downward Communication		0
Supervisor Downward Communication		4
CONFLICT MANAGEMENT		
Positive Communication Climate		
FAA Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out	50	0
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out		0
Regional Management Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out		0
Facility/Division Management Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out		0
Management (No Level Specified) Makes Me Afraid to Speak Out		0
Supervisors Make Me Afraid to Speak Out		0
Nonsupervisory Employees Make Me Afraid to Speak Out		0
Conflict Addressed	•	O
FAA Addresses Conflict	37	0
Top Management (AOA and LOB) Addresses Conflict		0
Regional Management Addresses Conflict		0
Facility/Division Management Addresses Conflict		1
Management (No Level Specified) Addresses Conflict		0
Supervisors Address Conflict		_
Nonsupervisory Employees Address Conflict		0
MODEL WORK ENVIRONMENT (MWE)	U	U
MWE Policies		
General Comments about FAA MWE Policies	96	3
Management/Supervisors Committed to MWE Policies		3
Personal Support for MWE PoliciesPersonal Support for MWE Policies		22
Affirmative Action (AA) Policy		
		3
Equal Opportunity Employment (EEO) Policy	6	0
MWE Success  Handling of MWE Complaints	66	4
		1
General Comments about a Model Work Environment in the FAA		4
Hostile Work Environment		
Discriminatory Treatment Based on Protected Characteristic		
Discriminatory Treatment Based on Age (Mandatory Retirement)		
Sexual Harassment (Experienced/Witnessed)		
Reverse Discrimination		
Personal Safety at Work	34	2
Scope of Accountability Board		
General Comments about Accountability Board		0
Unfamiliar with Accountability Board	5	

Appendix B - Summary Frequencies of EAS 2003 Employee Comment Codes for the FAA Overall

	Negative	Positive
Reporting Allegations		
Abuse of MWE Complaint Process (Excessive Complaints)	47	
Satisfaction with Employee Assistance Program (EAP)	3	0
T III: OTHER ISSUES OF CONCERN		
FAA Policies, Practices, and Programs		
General Comments about FAA Policies, Practices, and Programs	219	8
LDR or CRU-X		0
ATOS	2	0
CSET	3	0
Medical Standards/Qualifications	21	0
Politics/Bureaucracy		
Waste		
Region Structure	110	
General Comment about Region Structure	12	2
Consolidate Regions	12 31	2
	· 31	
Air Traffic	0.5	0
General Comments About Air Traffic Operations		0
Controller-in-Charge (CIC) Program		0
FAA Treatment of Flight Services Option		0
FAA Management Unaware of Flight Services Function	23	
Airway Facilities		
FAA Treatment of Airway Facilities	28	0
Flight Standards		
Handbook/FAR 8400 & 8700		0
Excessive paperwork, not enough time in field	11	
Management and Union Relations		
General Comments About Management and Union Labor Relations	82	7
Union Negotiates in "Good Faith"	4	0
Management Negotiates in "Good Faith"		1
Management is Controlled by Unions		
<u>Unions</u>		
General Comment about Unions	94	5
Union Held Accountable		0
Union Members Discriminated Against		
Nonmembers Discriminated Against		
Unionization Forced or Encouraged		
~	0	
Current Contractors	40	
General Comments About Current Contractors		1
Contracting Cost Effectiveness of Current Contractors		0
Quality of Current Contract Personnel/Products/Services	36	1
Survey		
General Comments About the Survey		12
Respondent Anonymity		0
Survey Construction/Content/Length		0
Survey Cost Effectiveness	23	0
Management/Supervisors Allowed No Time to Complete Survey	13	0
Management Use of Survey Results		0
No Codable Comment		

#### Appendix C

# **Examples of Top Positive Respondent Comment Categories and Topic**

#### Satisfaction with Job Overall

- "I am very satisfied with my position and with the FAA."
- "I love my job."
- "I love my job with the FAA."
- "I enjoy working for the FAA and I love my position."
- "Overall I am very pleased with my job/position and the group that I work with."

#### Committed/Loyal to the FAA

- "Do I care what happens to the FAA? Yes Yes Yes."
- "All in all we have a great organization that I truly believe in."
- "I have great loyalty towards the FAA."
- "I am very proud to work for the FAA, and I enjoy working at the [Facility]"
- "I feel proud to work for an agency that keeps millions of people safe on a daily basis."

#### Confidence in Nonsupervisory Employee's Knowledge/Skills/Abilities

- "I am privileged to work with the group of dedicated professionals at the [Facility]."
- "As for my coworkers, they are some of the most awesome people I've ever met. They're more like my family than other employees."
- "I generally feel that my coworkers are competent and also support FAA goals."
- "The persons' (for the most part) in the Flight Service Option are very hard working dedicated professionals."
- "I work with a great bunch of people. For the most part we are professional and proficient in our jobs."

# Confidence in Management Knowledge/Skills/Abilities/Leadership Style

- "[FAA Administrator] and [Name] have brought refreshing change and restored impartial and decisive leadership to our agency."
- "[FAA Administrator] seems to be very pro-active which is a pleasure to see."
- "Our current air traffic manager at [Facility], [Name] should be used as a model for improving LMR and employee morale and spirit."
- "The FAA is a great organization and the management is equally as great."
- "My immediate supervisor is an exceptional individual. He is extremely knowledgeable of FAA/DOT procedures, very interested in his subordinates, and is a pleasure to work with."

#### **Appendix D - Examples of Top Negative Respondent Comments**

#### Morale

- "Morale is low and getting lower."
- "The morale in the agency continues to decline steadily."
- "These failures in common sense and respect are the greatest demoralizer of our workforce."
- "The workforce is demoralized, service to the pilot is suffering and management doesn't care."
- "The direction of the agency as a whole is disheartening."

#### **Privatization/Future Contracting**

- "If I won the lottery today and never needed to work again, I would still think privatizing and contracting the FAA is a disaster waiting to happen."
- "Contracting out does not save the government money in the long run. Contracting out hurts safety. Much of what government does cannot become 'for profit'."
- "The fact that the FAA is not supporting this function as "inherently governmental" is ridiculous and insulting."
- "We live with the threat of contracting, which destroys job security, which was my #1 reason for joining the FAA."
- "Since I returned to the FAA in 1996 I have constantly felt that my job was going to be contracted out, privatized or, sold off."

#### **Promotion Selection Equity**

- "The "good old boys" still live. Buddies get promoted."
- "Employee's get promoted based on favoritism and who their manager is married too."
- "Current supervisor attained position as a result of "the buddy system". Other candidates were more qualified."
- "People are always promoted/rewarded strictly based on the good old boy syndrome, not on competency and/or work performance."
- "Promotions are based on this meaningless knowledge, skills, abilities that are "rigged" so lower levels of these qualities become comparable."

# Satisfaction with Pay System (Core Compensation)

- "My happiness was short lived when the H band was lagging about \$6,000 behind what a real GS-12 step 1 made. Nine months later I got my I band; now I'm nearly \$10,000 behind what a real GS-13 step 1 makes."
- "Under the current pay plan for ATSS employees of the AF division of the FAA, a newly hired employee will never reach the limit of the pay band under which he or she works. There are no longer longevity pay increases but there are now so-called performance raises or SCI."
- "Overtime and SCI's are given based on buddy system, manager is extremely incompetent, SMO manager is as well."
- "Please, take another look at the SCI process. Every employee and manager is frustrated with this system and it is basically a duplication and conflict with the performance management system."
- "My performance has been compensated thru core comp, but not enough. I cannot get my position upgraded."

#### **Encouraging Hard Work**

- "The FAA in no way provides any incentive for doing a good job."
- "The incentive to do more than the minimum required is just not there."
- "It seems if you're a hard worker and you do above and beyond your job your almost punished."
- "I would be in serous trouble if I looked to my organization to motivate me."
- "A "pat on the back" is never given. Outside commendations are never even acknowledged."

#### Appendix D - Examples of Top Negative Respondent Comments

#### Accountability

- "I believe people should be held accountable for their actions."
- "Make people accountable."
- "Hold management accountable for their actions."
- "I think FAA management is not held responsible for completing the goals of the Administrator."
- "Employee morale and productivity would greatly increase if all FAA managers were required to take recurrent management classes and were held accountable for their management skills."

#### **Dealing with Poor Performers**

- "There are no consequences for employee performance problems."
- "First, I think the FAA does not deal with substandard performance of certified controllers very well."
- "We are constantly speaking about improving productivity and raising the bar for performance yet we allow deficiencies to continue unchecked by their immediate supervisors in the region. If management refuses to correct these problems, how can we expect cooperation from the workforce when we address problems with them?"
- "His performance has remained the same for a number of years since management has turned a blind eye to his performance since he has 'personal problems'."
- "The FAA has never taken corrective actions towards poor performance of an employee, but rather hidden the abuse, or moved the individual."

#### Understaffed

- "There is getting to be a severe staffing shortage all over HQ."
- "Staffing is far from adequate to manage responsibilities levied upon my organization."
- "Our workforce is understaffed and yet we continue to maintain the NAS."
- "I do not have enough time to get the job done in a thorough manner! We are so understaffed it is pathetic!
- "I have seen from the trenches what understaffing does to safety and morale. The FAA needs to aggressively hire now before the mass exodus."

#### **Workload Too Heavy**

- "We are annually expected to do too much work in too short a time span at the end of each FY (it is a state of utter chaos and frustration each year!)"
- "I would like to become involved in other job opportunities, but my workload is too heavy."
- "Sometimes the activities required to help others accomplish their performance plans impose a significant workload that impairs our ability to meet our own performance plans."
- "Managers are stretched too thin and tasked with too much that they no longer have time to manage their organizations."
- "My workload keeps increasing. Sometimes I have to drop what I'm doing to respond to something else."

# **Resource Availability**

- "We have \$0 dollars to spend during the year and then just before Oct. 1 we have \$800 to spend in 4 hrs."
- "The impact of the budget on implementation of projects has seriously affected the ability to do a good job on projects."
- "We are all experiencing the pains of the budget shortfalls, but unfortunately what we see is everyone cutting back at the expense of the field."
- "FAA needs to have one pocket of money, can't order parts but we can gravel a road because different money."
- "The lack and reduction of the operating budget for the last 10 years to the sudden ability to increase not just air traffic but all employees' wages (some questionable)."

#### Appendix D - Examples of Top Negative Respondent Comments

#### **Equipment**

- "Our computer equipment is out-dated but they just keep adding programs and the computers do not operate properly as a result."
- "Current facility is in great disrepair; much of the equipment we use either is broken or fails routinely."
- "Equipment is not being repaired as necessary."
- "In our present day of communications emphasis and technical abilities there are no provisions to replace our antiquated radio systems; That at best can be said to "suck"."
- "I have shelves full of equipment that needs to be sent out for exchanges or repairs."

#### Confidence in Management

- "Top agency officials are micromanaging even unimportant stuff."
- "Manager manages through contempt and disrespect for the workforce she is entrusted with. Demonstrates complete lack of leadership."
- "Management has little to no skills in coaching, mentoring, and delegation."
- "Current supervisor lacks communication skills. Supervisor becomes very defensive when asked a question on any topic."
- "The supervisor lacks the tact and people skills to manage professional people. We are constantly second guessed, berated, and looked upon with distrust when performing our duties."

#### **General Fairness**

- "The "good ol' boys" network is alive & well."
- "Decisions are often based on "who you are" instead of facts."
- "Very dissatisfied with how employees in the agency are treated differently."
- "There is still the "buddy" system. Some people get away with a lot, while others are held to strict compliance with rules/policies."
- "Political relationships and favoritism still protect a portion of these wrong doers; however, all for the sake of being able to do the job."

# **Management Concern for Employees**

- "The FAA, in my 16-year career has never demonstrated that they are concerned with what employees think."
- "In the past three to four years the FAA has made agreement after agreement to benefit themselves with little or no concerns for their labor force."
- "It gives the impression HDQ does not CARE about the lowest level workers and they are being sacrificed."
- "I don't believe the FAA management in the region or Washington really cares about Air Traffic Controllers in smaller facilities."
- "Management here is oppressive to the workforce and doesn't care about us at all.

# **Trust**

- "The FAA has failed to ensure my trust on many levels."
- "It is my perception that there is a general distrust for the competence and integrity of the FAA's high level managers."
- "My staff and I have been and continue to be lied to by upper management. There is no trust anymore."
- "How can we respect upper management that doesn't respect us?"
- "However, I have no trust whatsoever of upper management above my facility to Washington, DC."

#### **Downward Communication**

"Briefings are thrown in a folder on a desk. Many things could be better learned & communicated by face to face